TOWN OF GREENBURGH PLANNING BOARD

-----x

ROLL CALL

- 5. ITEMS FOR PUBLIC HEARING/PUBLIC DISCUSSION
  - a) Case No. PB 19-26
    Kaufmann
    36 Hillcrest Avenue
    (P.O. Ardsley, N.Y.)
  - b) Case No. PB 21-11
     Grolier
     6 Chauncey Circle
     (P.O. Ardsley, N.Y.)
  - c) Case No. PB 21-07
     Greystone PUD Lots 4-7
     Carriage Trial
     (P.O. Tarrytown, N.Y.)

ADJOURNMENT.

-----X

Greenburgh Town Hall 177 Hillside Avenue Greenburgh, New York 10607 October 20, 2021

PLANNING BOARD MEETING CONDUCTED VIA ZOOM

Barbara Marciante, Official Court Reporter

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	WALTER SIMON, CHAIRMAN
5	HUGH SCHWARTZ, VICE CHAIRMAN
6	JOHAN SNAGGS, Board Member
7	KIRIT DESAI, Board Member MICHAEL GOLDEN, Board Member(Not Present)
8	THOMAS HAY, Board Member  MONA FRAITAG, Board Member
9	JONATHAN CAMPOZANO, Esq., Alternate Board Member
10	
11	ALSO PRESENT: AARON SCHMIDT,
12	Deputy Commissioner of The Department of Community Development and Conservation
13	DAVID R. FRIED, ESQ.
14	First Deputy Town Attorney
15	MATTHEW BRITTON, Assistant Planner to the Department of
16	Community Development and Conservation
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So we're actually
2	going to go into Public Hearing at this point. So
3	chairperson Simon, I'm happy to call the roll.
4	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Please do.
5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Chairperson Simon?
6	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Here.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Schwartz?
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Here.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Desai?
10	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Here.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Hay?
12	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Here.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Ms. Fraitag?
14	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Here.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Snaggs?
16	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Here.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Campozano?
18	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER CAMPOZANO: Here.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you. Just
20	note for the record that Board Member, Michael Golden, is
21	not present this evening. The first Public Hearing on
22	tonight's agenda is Case Number PB 19-26, Kaufmann, located
23	at 36 Hillcrest Avenue, P.O. Ardsley in the R-75 one-family
24	residence district.
2.5	The applicant seeks preliminary subdivision.

Planning Board steep slope permit and tree removal permit approvals for a proposal consisting of the subdivision of three existing tax lots into two buildable lots to allow for the construction of one new single-family home fronting on Springwood Avenue.

The project involves regulated steep slope disturbance as well as regulated tree removals. The applicant has prepared a tree removal permit application and has worked with staff on its landscaping proposal, which it will review with the Board and members of the public.

On June 17th, 2021, the Zoning Board of Appeals granted an area variance related to the newly proposed lot not having frontage onto a roadway built to Town standard. The Planning Board last discussed this matter at its October 6th, 2021 meeting as part of a Public Hearing.

The applicant's representative is present this evening to provide a brief overview of the project for the benefit of the public. Following this, unless Board Members have any questions, I believe the intent is to hear from the members of the public as part of this Public Hearing that we were unable to hear from at our last meeting. Thank you. Mr. Escaladas.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Is my mic on? Yeah. Can you hear me, guys?

1

CHAIRPERSON SIMON:

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: And as Deputy Commissioner Schmidt said, that this is a continuation of the last one. So it isn't necessary to go into the full details, which you did at the last meeting, but just to give us -- give the public a refresher will do.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Thank you, Mr. Simon. Okay, the presentation was very brief -- to be very brief. It's a three-lotter of different sizes. It's an existing residence in the higher portion of the lot. And we're proposing for the development of the lower section of that lot. It fronts with Springwood Avenue, which has a very narrow portion at the point of entry.

We have -- I have met with a couple of the neighbors. And their major concern is that, and I agree with them 100 percent, and I expressed to them that we have added, officially, the requirement of enlargement at the point of entry and as long as we can along the entrance, the property line, that parallels Springwood.

And we can only do the enlargement up to the property line. And although, I don't show the improvements to the property line, I've said that verbally to the neighbors. And I will express my desire to do that. there is some rock at the onset of the lot. And I can't

draw any more than what I drew to the flatness of the road.

Anymore than that shadowed line involves rock excavation.

But like I said to everyone, these are things that probably will end up being done during the construction. I can only agree with their grief, with their desire to enlarge it. I think it's a betterment for every one of us, and certainly a betterment for our driveway. And I will endeavor to, if I'm involved in the construction, to enlarge it even wider than what I'm showing here.

But what I'm showing here is the three or three and a half feet that I can up to the face of the rock that emanates from the surface of the ground. So that's something that I understand, but there is nothing more than what I've drawn that I can show as a pavement enlargement.

But I'm sure that in the construction of this, because it benefits the lot as well, that the excavation will probably be done in such a way that the pavement will be able to profit from this additional excavation and may be even made it larger at the narrowest gap there, number one.

Number two, the trees, the tree, we've gone over the concern of the trees that are there. Some of the trees there, I would say the majority of the trees there, are not in excellent health. However, there are trees that

everyone is used to enjoying them and so on. The proposal that we have is cutting down, I believe, 26, am I correct, Aaron, 26?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So it's 26 total trees of which 21 regulated.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Okay. So I tallied -- the only way that I can mathematize the advantages, disadvantages, the unfairness of what we're proposing or the fairness of what we're proposing is to mathematize it. And the Town's Code helps us into taking a quick look of what we're taking away and what we're replanting.

And if I propose -- I gave Aaron an analysis based on the latest tree ordinance that gives a value to each tree. The total value of the existing trees, for example, in gallons of absorption of water is 17,000. In terms of pounds of CO2 is 1,700.

What we're proposing gives us 21,000 as compared to 17. So we're exceeding what exists. The benefits of what exists is 17. The benefits of what we're going to produce is 21,000. And for CO, as I said, it's 1700, we're removing 1700, but we're introducing 3400.

So in terms of percentages, we're improving, for pounds of CO, we're improving 200 percent. And for gallons of water, we're improving a 125 percent. In other words, the math of what is being taken away, according to the Town

Code in computer value of this very nice tree system analysis, and compared to what we're putting back, we're putting back more than what is there.

There is other advantages in doing work in these older, not-so-productive grounds, we will be introducing grasses. We will be introducing foundation plantings.

There is also, in addition to the aesthetics of it, there is also production and water retention to those particular elements, which we're not counting in.

And again, just to repeat myself, the amount of drainage improvements that we're proposing here are far above what we are minimally required to do. So we're doing all of that with respect to the neighbors. And we're concerned to the neighbors and to the lot.

Basically, what I'm saying is, the presentation just shows that we mathematize the trees, the value that we're adding and we came out successful. Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, so thank you for that summary. But there is one comment that you made that I just want to make sure that you're aware of. That you said that during construction, you might remove more rock.

If that's the case, there is -- you have to be aware that you might have to go back and adjust that in your steep slope permit, if you're removing more rock than you're permitted to do. Aaron, you had something to say,

yes?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes, I did. So just a couple of comments. And I appreciate that one, Chairperson Simon. And staff will continue to keep in touch with Mr. Escaladas and anyone at a future point that

looks to build on this site for sure.

The couple of the things I wanted to add is,

Mr. Escaladas said we can only widen the road or only have
the ability at this time to widen it based on what the plan
shows. And I don't -- let me see if I can just go back to
that drawing.

I just wanted to clarify because this Board, the Planning Board, strongly suggested that the applicant reach out to the Village of Ardsley. Because it does own a strip of land that goes -- the Town and Village boundary line is here and runs through the property. But the owner, actually, of the property extends into the Village.

So the question was, can you, instead of tapering it off at the edge of where the municipal boundary line is, can you continue the widening into the Village?

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Yes.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: And that's not true on this plan. But there was discussion with the Village engineer, and maybe Mr. Escaladas can touch on that very briefly, just to remind everyone and let members of the

public that weren't, perhaps weren't on the other meetings
understand it.

And then ultimately, if this project were approved, that very well could become an element or a condition of any approval of the Board. And perhaps they would even want to see it on a revised plan for final submission.

The other thing that I want to state is that with respect to landscaping, Mr. Escaladas is absolutely correct. We did run through those numbers, and I reviewed them. But the one thing to be clear on, and it certainly meets the New Tree Ordinance, it's just to state that, and I think we all know this, but I just wanted it to be on the record, that, you know, clearly they are going to be removing larger trees. And those do soak up water and remove CO2 from the atmosphere. At their planted size, they are not going to be able to replicate the amount of stormwater absorption and the CO2 removal at the time of their planting.

What the code provides is a credit, what we call a mid-maturity credit. So it gives some credit to the property owner that over time they will care for and maintain the trees, so that they can grow to a fully mature size. And we give what we call a mid-maturity credit.

So down the road, you know, it may not be for 10,

15, 20 years, but at some future point, the environmental benefits of the trees being planted will out produce those of the trees that are proposed for removal. So I just wanted to mention that as well.

And I can turn things back over to Members of the Board or Mr. Escaladas. Again, I wanted to make sure that we do get to the members of the public as well.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: And just to add to that, the drainage has been increased for that reason. Thank you for saying that.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Are there any Members of the Board who would like to comment on this before I turn it over to the public? No?

(Whereupon, there was no response.)

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Before I turn it over to the public, I just want to remind members of the public that we do not have a time limit on speakers. But we do ask that you do not repeat yourself, be concise and speak to the issue at hand. So your comments should relate specifically to the application. Okay, with that in mind, I welcome the first speaker.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We have Mr. James Dixon. Just state your name and address for the record.

MR. JAMES DIXON: I'm sorry, Mr. Schmidt, did you call me?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I did. Thank you,
Mr. Dixon.

MR. JAMES DIXON: Yes, thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: You're welcome.

MR. JAMES DIXON: My name is Jim Dixon. I live at 25 Springwood Avenue. And so in this proceeding, my concern has been for Springwood Avenue and the affect that the development will have on it.

I appreciate Mr. Escaladas meeting with me. I think it was a productive meeting and I think he understands my concerns. But I want to put them on the record. There are three in particular that I do want to outline for the Board.

The first is that the affect that will -- that construction will have on access and on the roadway is a major concern, I think, for myself and my neighbors. I spoke to Mr. Escaladas about this.

And I encourage the Board to consider a laydown area that will be used within the footprint of the development for the period of time that the construction is going on so that trucks and materials and other activities aren't conducted on the road, but rather conducted somewhere within the footprint of the development. And will, I think, by doing that, will lessen the affect on the road.

I think all the members of the Board have been down this road. They've looked at it. They have seen that the point of the curb cut that's proposed for this development is at the narrowest point of the road. And it will be a chokepoint.

And it will be a problem for not only the people who live at the end of the road, like myself, but also emergency vehicles that need to get down the road, if there is such a need during the construction. So I ask that that be considered by the Board in approving any plans here.

The second point that I want to make is actually building off of the point that Mr. Schmidt had made earlier that concerns the taper. Right now, the taper is conceptualized. It's not actually designed.

And our concern is that whatever improvement is made to the road there, that it be made out to 20 feet or farther, if possible. And whatever is necessary to do that be considered by the Board and by the Town in approving this project.

Last point I want to make is, if you can bring up the previous plan that you had, Mr. Schmidt, I'd appreciate it. You'll notice that from the top of the driveway to the bottom of the driveway, there is a differential of six to eight feet in elevation. This driveway will collect whatever water flows to it and it will become a sluice.

And it will deposit that water at the curb cut for Springwood Avenue.

I talked to Mr. Escaladas about a modification of the stormwater plan in order to provide some trenching across the length of the driveway at the bottom where he now proposes the drywell to the upper part of that picture. Thank you, Mr. Schmidt. And that there be a trench way across the width of the driveway that would allow water to flow then, rather, onto Springwood Avenue than to flow into the drywell.

Those conclude my comments. Thank you for the opportunity, Chairperson Simon.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Thank you. Do we have another speaker who wishes to speak to this?

MR. LEONARD HERMAN: Yes. My name is Leonard Herman, 75 Euclid Avenue. And I would like to speak on this issue.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Please, go ahead.

MR. LEONARD HERMAN: I am down the hill from Springwood. And my concern is both the runoff as well as water that's not going to be absorbed by the existing trees. As I understand it from the information, there is going to be a 10 to 15 year period before you'll have the same amount of water absorption from the trees being planted.

Currently, after any large rain fall, I have underground streams that come out from my wall near my driveway and spill out into the street. These dry out when it's not raining and they only come back after heavy rainfalls.

My concern is that this project is only going to exacerbate this situation. I'm concerned both about the runoff from the property as well as the water that's not going to be absorbed by the existing trees. And that concludes what I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Thank you very much. Do we have anyone else?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We do. We have Mr. Parashis first, followed by Ms. Beiner.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay.

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Wait, hold on a second. Okay, hello? Am I live? All right, great. Nicholas Parashis, 23 Springwood Avenue. Yeah, so I have many concerns, mostly regarding the stormwater runoff and the trees.

Aaron, I like your plan that you're planting a lot of trees and putting in that Town Code, I think that's excellent. However, by the time I see those benefits, I will be well into my retirement age. And we're talking 15 to 20 years for a somewhat mature tree. We're not talking

about Oaks being mature.

Oaks, Maples, large trees of these sorts, that have deep root taps have so many other benefits as well, but specifically water interception. That's very important, especially for those of us who do live downhill.

Now, for me, I'm okay, you know, the water on my property. It doesn't bother my house, but all the neighbors, I have to think about them. I have to be a good neighbor. I have to be a good person for my neighborhood. I have to think of those living further down the hill, like the gentleman from Euclid. What we do impacts everybody else.

Now, if I was a developer and I wanted to knock down a lot of trees, I definitely go with, you know, the route that's been taken now. Is it possible to share my screen because I have something that I would like to show?

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Well, if it's directly --

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yeah, it's directly --

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: -- related to the application. And the issue is, the issue as I see it, as expressed by the two previous speakers is whether or not

the current design will appropriately capture the runoff --

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Right, it's, yes --

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: -- that's the concerns. And

so you should be addressing that. Whether or not --

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Exactly, yes. And that's 1 what I would like to address. Yes, please. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes. 4 MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: So I would like to share 5 my screen, if you give me permission for that. CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. 6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes, we've allowed 8 that. 9 MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: All right. Let's see. 10 Are you guys seeing my screen yet? Let's see here. 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: No. 12 MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Nothing yet. Okay, let 13 me see something here. I don't know why it's not allowing 14 to share. Okay. So generally speaking, there is a lot of 15 trees that are not listed on that diagram that you have 16 there, as far as where all those X's are and all those 17 trees to be replanted are. 18 So I was wondering if you could -- I don't know 19 why this is not working. I have a whole thing here. I 20 don't know why it's not allowing the screen share. But I 21 guess you guys can share those screens. And if you show 22 the tree planting diagram, if you can pull that up for 23 every one to see, I would appreciate that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I will, just one

moment. And I might add while I'm pulling this up, you

24

25

know, there have been now a couple of folks that have been spoken about the trees and the fact that it will take time for the new trees to absorb the amount of stormwater that the existing trees absorb.

The Town's Stormwater Management Regulations do not even take the new trees into account. The applicant is obligated to ensure that there is no -- zero increase in water runoff from the site, post development when compared to pre-development.

And we can, you know, I'm not an engineer so I am not going to speak to that. We can have Mr. Escaladas speak to that, if there is a question. But I just wanted to remind the Board Members and to inform the members of the public of that.

So the trees, and as they grow, that's just an added benefit. It's obviously an aesthetic benefit. And I'm an arborist so I try and get folks to plant, you know, as many nice trees as possible on their property.

So let me share this screen and we will go to the tree removal and landscaping plan. Here we go. Can you all see that?

> CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes.

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS:

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT:

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: So when you start to look

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

at where that big rock is towards Springwood Avenue, all along that line, there is a whole bunch of trees there.

And right behind them, there is a lot more trees that are being removed. Those aren't listed on the property.

If you guys like sports, you'll be familiar with two different things. Offense and defense, right. These trees provide defense, right. They provide defense for stormwater runoff, for taking carbon dioxide out of the air, and, most importantly, right now, for the flooding. And if -- I have all these beautiful pictures that, unfortunately, I can't show with you.

When we had our last storm, which was supposed to be, you know, 100-year, whatever, you guys are the scientists, I don't know, storm. I remember the same type effect less than 15 years ago when I moved in. All of the Saw Mill flooded down.

And that has to do with the over development, taking away all of these trees to intercept all that stormwater. And guess what, all these guys on Euclid Avenue and further down, their basements are flooding. They have streams of water going through their property.

When you take away all of this defense, that is adding to what they already have, you are destroying the property, destroying the root system, destroying the steep slopes. All that water is now cascading down. And this is

not a necessity project. This is a greed project. This is
about money. And so let's call it what it is.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Well, let's not -- let's
stick to the point -
MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yeah. So the point --

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yeah. So the point -CHAIRPERSON SIMON: The question is -- let me

finish, please. -- whether or not there is an economic

advantage to the developer or not, that's immaterial to

what we and how we develop -- judge this property. The

baseline is considering the steep slopes, the tree removal,

the drainage system.

Does this project add any additional water to the surrounding properties. That's the issue. Does it, regardless of how many trees are left up or taken down. Regardless of what the drainage system design is, the bottom line is, is any additional water leaving this property. That's what we should be focusing on.

MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Right. And your answer is going to be 100 percent absolutely yes. I plugged in seven trees into my tree benefits calculator, which is exactly the same system that you use. And I came up with 17,528 gallons of rainfall intercepted.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Stop. Stop right now. Excuse me, we're going around in circles.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: In circles.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I'm getting tired of
2	it, okay. You didn't listen to what Mr. Schmidt just told
3	you, okay. You keep focusing only on the trees. There is
4	a drainage system being installed at the same time. The
5	calculation is made before we replace the trees, after we
6	take out the trees and the new drainage system has to take
7	up the difference of the drainage, okay. And you're saying
8	it's all going to cascade down, that's not true. Okay,
9	it's just not true.
10	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yes. Okay, Mr. Schwartz,
11	yes.
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: You keep focusing on
13	the trees.
14	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Stop. There is no reason for
16	discussion.
17	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Right. So Mr. Schwartz,
18	I think you're 100 percent correct. However, the
19	calculations that are being submitted, they are not
20	accurate. What I'm trying to
21	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Well, what we
22	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: What I'm trying to say
23	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Wait a minute
24	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: What I'm trying a say
25	is

1	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes. Let me interrupt you
2	again. If you have evidence that the calculation is
3	inaccurate, then submit that to the Board. Submit give
4	that to Mr. Escaladas so he could go over those. We're not
5	going to have a debate on the calculation. Produce the
6	data and we will evaluate it.
7	But we're not going to go into a debate of whose
8	calculations are correct. We have an engineering
9	department. We have Mr. Escaladas. You give us the data
10	and it will be evaluated. But we're not going to debate
11	the data here.
12	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Right. Is there a
13	forestry official that works for the Town of Greenburgh?
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes. There are
15	multiple.
16	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes.
17	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Okay. Is this project
18	certified that the data that is being submitted is
19	accurate?
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes.
21	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: By who?
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: By me, as a
23	certified arborist.
24	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Okay. Because I did a

quick calculation --

1	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, and I said, if you have
2	the calculation, submit the data.
3	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Will do. I have it right
4	now. I just can't share the screen with you.
5	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: It's unnecessary.
6	It's part of the record. If you have it, send it in.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I am not going so
8	spend any more time on it. But I just want Mr. Parashis to
9	realize there are a number of inputs that go into
10	formulating the calculations.
11	So if you insert, you know, an 18-inch red Oak
12	tree that's in excellent, pristine condition versus an
13	18-inch Oak tree that is in a shaded area and in fair
14	condition, you're going to come up with quite different
15	results in terms of the environmental benefit. So I wanted
16	you to be aware of that. Thank you.
17	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Yeah, I am, thank you.
18	I'm done.
19	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Is there any other thing you
20	wish to say?
21	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: No, he said he was
22	done, but
23	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay.
24	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Actually, yeah, my wife
25	has something to say.

1	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: I would just
2	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Could we recognize the next
3	speaker then? And here again, we're not going to repeat
4	MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: We're not.
5	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: what we just said, but the
6	same thing applies.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Walter, David had
8	something to say first, I believe.
9	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, yes.
10	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: Yes. What I was
11	simply going to say, again, if you have documentation,
12	scientific documentation, submit that. That's part of what
13	the public record is about. It goes in and they said by
14	several people there.
15	The purpose is not for arguments, not for back
16	and forth. Everyone should make their statements, but
17	don't start saying well, I have this, this and this,
18	instead of saying I have it. Submit it, we will look at
19	it.
20	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Right.
21	MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: The number he quoted was
22	the same I gave you, 17,000.
23	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, but
24	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: For seven trees.
25	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: As I indicated

1	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Seven trees.
2	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: We're not going to debate
3	MR. NICHOLAS PARASHIS: Seven trees, not 27.
4	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: We're not going to debate the
5	issue here. If there are additional data, submit it and it
6	will be evaluated. Okay, let's move on to the next
7	speaker.
8	MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: I just want to say a quick
9	comment. Josie Parashis, 23 Springwood Avenue. Despite
10	how many trees will be cut, the runoff, any of the comments
11	and what we were talking about and all the speakers that
12	have spoken so far, it's just just one quickly.
13	If most of the people on the block, where
14	something is being built, don't really want that property,
15	does that matter at all because it doesn't seem
16	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: It, in fact, there's a
17	MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: I just want to
18	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Let me
19	MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: I want it on the record.
20	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Let me answer your question.
21	MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: Let me just finish it. I
22	just want to finish it.
23	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Let me answer your question.
24	You asked the question, I'll give you the answer. You
25	asked

1

MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: Let me just --

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

data.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: -- if most of the people on the block do not like a project, does that mean the project will be stopped. No. We base our decision on the facts,

the Codes. And that's one of the reasons why I said submit

regardless if it is popular or unpopular. We have to go by

the data. Because we have to base our decisions on the

There is ample case law where Planning Boards

ignored the fact and made a decision because that is what

the residents wanted. And it was thrown out of court. And

the judge gave a stern rebuke of the Planning Board for not

following the law, not following the code and making a

decision based upon popularity.

So we do not make our decisions based upon popularity. We base it on the Code. We base it on the data.

BOARD MEMBER HAY: Walter, can I add to that, please?

> CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER HAY: I'm dying to say this. Look, none of us like to see trees come down. You know, I grew up next to an empty lot. We played in it until someone built a house. We weren't happy about it.

The fact is, in most cases, landowners have the

right to build on a piece of land that they own, as long as they meet certain requirements. Our Board is not empowered to tell someone they can't do it, if it's allowed by law.

What we can do is try to mitigate the impact as much as possible, stormwater, traffic, disturbance to the neighborhood, whatever objects are under control. But we can't say, you can't build there because people don't want it.

Keep in mind, all the houses we live in, people living in houses, were once trees. Someone was probably unhappy that those were built at one time. We don't want to see the green canopy destroyed. What Greenburgh has, that many other places don't, is at least a codified ordinance that takes into account what the existing trees contribute and tries to replace it to the greatest extent possible.

Obviously, if you're putting a structure on a piece of property, not as many trees can be replaced, which is why there is a robust stormwater system that's required. Now, you can debate whether it actually is going to do what it says or not, but we have to, as Chairperson Simon said, follow the engineers and the people that make these calculations. We're not engineers ourselves.

So I understand, it's clear it's unpopular and some people have spoken have been very unhappy about it, we

can't tell the owner he cannot built just because it's not popular.

MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: Now, it was suggested before, right, to make things more better for the people that live on Springwood Avenue to have a Hillcrest entrance, that's where -- and that wasn't even brought up again.

That was in, you know, a consideration by, you know, the engineer. And that was just a suggestion that, you know, a lot of people were trying to make things better for everyone. Like you said, we don't want to cut down trees, but if that would make, you know, if they have the entrance on Hillcrest Avenue, you know, where the owners actually have it, yeah.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Can I address that, Walter?

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes, please. First of all, it wasn't ignored. We thought about it.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Correct. That's what I wanted to say. It was considered. And actually, the amount of disturbance to the, because of the difference in grade, the amount of disturbance was actually greater than the way it was done. So you know, I would appreciate that you're understanding --

MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: We would see more trees,

correct, like --

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: There are a lot of factors besides trees. There's also rock, okay. There were a lot of factors and it was very, very difficult to put the driveway in that direction. It would have destroyed a lot more of the topography to do it, okay.

I don't, really -- As Tom said, and it's very, very important, property owners do have rights to build on their property. That is New York State Law, case law one after another, okay. This is not a popularity contest.

There are times we approve things that we rather not approve, but they have the right to do it. And as Mr. Hay said, we really do try to mitigate it as much as possible. But it's not a popularity contest.

And before you say something emphatically, like this wasn't considered, a lot of things were considered, okay. That alternative was considered and rejected after we looked at what kind of disturbances that would cause.

It was because that was a legitimate question you guys brought up. We looked at the alternate. And it was almost impossible to put a driveway there because of the grade. Aaron, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Go ahead.

MRS. JOSIE PARASHIS: Wouldn't it be like also consideration not to subdivide, I guess?

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: No, actually not.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I would just like the opportunity to speak.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aaron?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes, thank you. First, and I would actually ask if Mr. Fried wanted to say something. My only comment was that we do have another speaker who did raise her hand 30 minutes ago and has been waiting to speak.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, let's move on. We have other topics that we would like to consider. But I just want to ensure that, you know, these are things that we consider and we have to operate on the fact that -- I think there are two, the previous speaker talked about two things that we really need to take a look at.

One was the drainage in front of the driveway. I think that's a very good thing. We need to take a look at. And the fact that we need to actually put on paper the widening of that road or come to some agreement in the widening of the road into Ardsley. I mean, that's something that are valid points that I hope that the applicant is going to take a look at and revise their plans accordingly.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: The construction envelope, too, Walter, that was also a very good comment.

The other thing is whether or not they would have a construction envelope because the streets are very narrow.

I mean these are things that we could really evaluate. And I think they are excellent suggestions. Is there someone else who wanted to speak?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: There is.

MS. FATIM BEINER: Yes, I have my hand raised.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: Walter, let me just say quickly. Those three points are actually accepted by us. We will enlarge -- we would enlarge it as long -- for the length of the entire property that we control and we will change the drainage in the front.

It's a good suggestion, to a trough drain so that we can intercept all of the drainage, all of the sheet flows will be intercepted. And the discussion of creating a work area, a flat area, a supplied drop-off area to the best that we can absolutely can be suggested. I will endeavor to do that, yes.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, thank you very much.

I'm quite sure that the residents also are very pleased with that also.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Ms. Beiner has been waiting to speak very patiently.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes, that's what I'm saying.

Yes.

MS. FATIM BEINER: Okay, hi, 21 Springwood Avenue, Fatim Beiner and Gary Beiner. I'm driving, otherwise I would have put my video on. But I can't.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay.

MS. FATIM BEINER: I just pulled over. My main concern only, and I already had called Mr. Escaladas about it. I have one large Oak tree on my property. And it just happen that I had an arborist come over to tell me how much I should trim because of the storm.

And then he mentioned to me that the roots on that tree, it's almost like a quarter, taking up a quarter on my side of the front yard. And he said, by the way, that root extends to your next-door property, which is the Kaufmann.

And I said to him, oh, that's interesting. They are planning to take down trees. And he said, I would be very leary about it, depending on how much they are going to dig down to kill the root on their side, your tree will eventually fall off.

So I already spoke with Mr. Escaladas. I called him. And I said to him, that's my concern, can you please take that into consideration and try not to touch the roots on that tree of yours, which is very close to my property. And I'm just mentioning it for the records. He was very

kind. He understood my concern.

And other than that, I really, I trust you guys that you are taking care of everything as far as the runoff and the rocks and all this stuff. But I just want to say that Mr. Parashis, Parashis, I don't know. I only know him as Nick and Josie. He is the neighborhood watch. And we trust him. And we value his opinion. And he cares about our properties. And that's why he sticks his neck way out.

And it sounds like you guys are not happy about every time he makes a mention of something. I think the lack of communication by you, just like, for example, that he suggested to do the entrance from the other side of the street, Hillcrest, that was great that you considered it, you looked into that. But you didn't tell us that you did. So we just wanted to remind you again.

So the lack of communication back and forth from you, I don't know who's involved in that area to get back to us saying, yeah, we considered, we looked into it.

That's all we asking.

It's very hard. And as hard as it is that we have to live in this street that's in horrible condition that we have to fight for also to get it up to par and safe walking condition. So that's all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: I will make sure that our Deputy Schmidt, who is our licensed arborist, speak to

Mr. Escaladas about the root system of that tree. Okay.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: David has his hand

up.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: David?

DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: Yeah, unless there is anyone else who wants to speak. I appreciate the comments you made, Mrs. Beiner. I'm going to make one correction from what was said earlier.

This is a Public Hearing. The Board, you know, hears everything that you're saying. It is not, and the reason why there is no feedback on any particular response, I mean sometimes there are because there is give and take in there, but all this goes into the record.

Once the record is closed, and as I had asked for Mr. Parashis to please send any additional information so that this could be reviewed. It's amalgamated. It is reviewed by staff. It is rereviewed by the Board.

If there is a suggestion that ultimately is going to improve the project, and it's not something that's going to escalate the cost, you know, by tripling the cost, the staff looks at it and makes recommendations. And ultimately, this Planning Board will vote on the recommendations.

There is sometimes a misunderstanding because these are projects that neighbors don't normally come out

to, and they don't see how the Planning Board works.

Let me assure you that every comment that was made has been put down by Barbara. Barbara, you can wave. And is reviewed by staff and is considered. Nothing is off the table. However, there are obviously some things that we respond to quickly, such as the fact of wanting to expand the street. I think everyone is in agreement with that.

There is agreement, certainly, to look at something, and Aaron can discuss with you, you know, not necessarily on the record tonight, what we do to make sure that trees are protected, existing trees on neighborhood property. You are all being listened to. All of it will be considered. That's all I wanted to say.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. If there is no other -- is there any other speakers in the queue, Aaron?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: No.

MR. EMILIO ESCALADAS: I just wanted to say,

Aaron did ask me to look into the entrance from the other

side. And we did discuss it, and the retaining walls, the

heights of the retaining walls, the disturbance, as it was

stated, it would be gigantic. It would not make any sense.

I wanted it just to be on the record that Aaron did ask me to look into it. We had the discussion and we did sketches. That would not work. It will be too violent

1	of an imposition on everybody. So I just wanted to go on
2	the record to say that.
3	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Aaron?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: And that may have
5	been somewhat overlooked. We had a lot of moving parts at
6	the last meeting. But it was touched upon at the last
7	meeting. And it's in the transcript of the last Public
8	Hearing. So I just wanted everyone to be noticed on that.
9	There are no other speakers at this time.
10	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, so I would entertain a
11	motion to close the Public Hearing and keep the record
12	open. And what date is that, Aaron?
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: October 27th.
14	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes. I make a motion that we
15	close the Public Hearing and keep the record open to
16	November 7th.
17	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: October 27th.
18	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Second.
19	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Pardon me?
20	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: October 27th.
21	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: I'm sorry, October 27th.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Second.
23	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: All in favor? Aye.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aye.
25	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.

1	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Aye.
2	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
3	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
4	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER CAMPOZANO: Aye.
5	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Oppose?
6	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, thank you. And I
8	would, as Deputy Schmidt stated, the record is being kept
9	open and that is the time for people to submit additional
10	information, additional data, that will be objectively and
11	completely evaluated prior to the Board making a decision
12	on the project. Thank you. Okay.
13	* * * * * * * * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay, the next

item --

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Go ahead.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I'm sorry, the next item on the agenda -- And before I announce that, I do just want to announce, and we probably should have done this at beginning of the Public Hearings, but Case Number PB 21-07, known as the Greystone PUD associated with Lots 4 through 7, the applicant has requested an adjournment of that project.

Due to a family emergency, he's unable to attend the Public Hearing this hearing. So in all likelihood, the Board will not be hearing that and will be adjourning it to a future date, if there are any members of the public that are here and waiting on that particular Public Hearing.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: And I also would add that the applicant made an attempt to have his engineer come in his place, but his engineer was not available. So he asked for us to adjourn it to the next meeting.

DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: Do you want to just do that motion now then?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes. Okay, so let's then go to PB 21-07.

VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Wait, Walter, hold on. Do we have to -- I think David wants us to make a

1	motion
2	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY FRIED: He's going to that
3	one.
4	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: That's where I'm going.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, yes.
6	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: 21-07.
7	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. I move that we
8	adjourn the hearing to November 2nd or 3rd?
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: 3rd.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Adjourn it to
11	November 3rd.
12	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Second.
13	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: All in favor? Aye.
14	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aye.
16	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Aye.
17	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
18	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
19	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER CAMPOZANO: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Oppose?
21	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
22	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay.
23	* * * * * * * * *
24	
25	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: So now we will go back to now

PB 21-11. Can you introduce that, that is --1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes, we have that, 2 3 Chairperson Simon. 4 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Chauncey Circle. 5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Correct. So again, PB 21-11, Grolier, located at 6 Chauncey Circle, P.O. 6 7 Ardsley in the R-40 one-family residence district. The 8 applicant seeks a Planning Board steep slope permit approval for an application involving the proposed 9 10 construction of an inground pool and patio area on an 11 existing single-family residential property. 12 The project involves regulated steep slope 13 disturbance. The application was last before the Planning 14 Board as part of a work session on September 14th, 2021. 15 The applicant's representative is present this 16 evening to further detail the project, to explain the 17 revisions made and additional information provided since 18 the work session took place. And to answer any questions 19 that the Board Members and members of the public. I'll 20 turn it over to Mr. Hildenbrand. 21 MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Great. Thanks, Aaron. 22 For the record Brian Hildenbrand. I'm the engineer for the 23 project. And I'm just going to share my screen. Can 24 everyone see the rendering?

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes.

MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Okay. As Aaron said, this is a proposed inground pool and patio. What you're looking at here is, you know, kind of a 3D-rendering, but it paints the picture. The retaining walls are existing. They were part of the lot development when the house was built.

So where the pool is going is relatively flat.

We are here for a steep slope permit. The majority of the steep slope disturbance will be down this side yard for temporary construction access to build the pool.

The other part of the slope disturbance is associated with the stormwater plan. We're collecting the stormwater runoff on the patio, treating it in a detention system and then discharging the detention system downgrade below the retaining walls. And by doing, by trenching, you know, we're disturbing more steep slopes.

When we were here before you at the work session, I was asked to provide a cross section of the site, which we did. We put on the plan, you can see the only grading for the pool is some slight fill. The scale on this section is skewed, you know, exaggerated in the vertical just to really show what's happening. It's only about a foot or so of fill needed to level this area out.

Then this pipe is coming down to a level spreader. And since last time, we've -- the landscape

architect has provided some shrub and plug plantings as 1 well as the riprap. 2 3 So you would have riprap to dissipate the flows and then some, you know, four to five-foot Hollies and 4 5 Inkberries, two to three feet, and surrounded by a sedge, just to armor that area, help with water uptake and help 6 7 stabilize this slope. 8 So those are the two comments, I believe, from 9 the Board. Our stormwater system does mitigate all peak 10 flows to below the existing conditions or at least to meet the existing conditions for the 25-year storm. So with 11 12 that, that's just a quick overview, but that's my summary. 13 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. 14 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you. I just wanted to mention --15 16 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: I'm not -- Go ahead. 17 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Go ahead. I'm 18 sorry. I was just going to say --19 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: It's okay. Go ahead. 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We did look at the 21 plantings proposed and the stone riprap and that was deemed 22 acceptable based off the comments made at the work session. 23 So we thought that the applicant was responsive and did a 24 good job without making a revision.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. On the steep slope,

which you indicate is for access for the construction, on your cross section, are you digging into any rock or are you just removing soil?

MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Not even. It's just, you know, getting equipment down the yard. So we call that disturbance, you know, in case they have a small excavator, you know, something with tracks, to get down. But this is temporary. There is no cut or fill associated with the access.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. That was my only thing. Do any Members of the Board wish to speak on this issue?

(Whereupon, there was no response.)

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: No. If not, is there anyone who wish to speak on this from the public?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Hildenbrand, can you just stop the share screen for a moment. There were a member or two of the public that were among those interested in listening. If, at this time, you wish to speak on the project, you certainly may.

Otherwise, the Board may decide to close the Public Hearing. And there would be a period where written comments could be provided. It looks like we have the Akapos, or Ashley Akapo, here to speak. So I can turn it over to you, if you would like to speak on the project.

MR. OLUROTIMI AKAPO: Yes. Good evening, everyone. My name is Olurotimi and this is my wife, Ashley. The current address is 493 Winding Road North and we are owners of the residence.

And this property is right behind our house. And it's obviously on the steep slope. Initially, we thought the pool was going to be, if you look behind our property, on the right side, but it's not, fortunately, when I hear about the initial storm drain that was built.

Based on the last storm we just had, and that flooded some part of the neighborhood, there was also a run over off that storm drain that didn't carry obviously all the stormwater through that came onto our property and ran off sand and all that stuff down towards the back of our house.

So my fear is, with the new disturbance, given now it's going to the left side of the house, with the new disturbance of soil, and also with the collection of water now being treated and potentially ran off on the back side to come down to the property, there might still be run over and flooding as well.

So we're very concerned, obviously, and we have new kids at home. And the last thing I want is continual water running down the slope down towards the house and for us to have to now put additional protection in place just

because the pool is now literally behind our property. 1 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. The applicant, could 2 3 you answer -- Okay, we have another speaker? 4 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I did. I just --5 CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Let them express their concern and then we will have the applicant respond, okay. 6 7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes. So if there 8 is any other members of the public that wish to speak, now would be the time. 9 10 As I mentioned, if you don't or choose not to, 11 and the Board does close the Public Hearing this evening, 12 there would be a period for written comments to be provided 13 that would be submitted into the record and sent to the 14 Board and sent to the applicant. 15 With that said, it doesn't seem like there are 16 any further comments. So we ask Mr. Hildenbrand to 17 respond. 18 If I may make a comment, that the Planning Board, 19 more recently, particularly since the last major storm, has 20 begun to ask applicants if there is any opportunity to 21 design for a storm that's greater than the 25-year storm, 22 even though our Code is only required to the 25-year. 23 that may be something that you want to consider. Thank 24 you.

MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Okay. As far as the

washout described, I can't speak to that, only because one,
I don't -- I haven't been to the site since that storm.

And second, I know there is a stormwater system for the house and for the driveway that was designed by someone else and installed.

So I don't know if it's just a maintenance issue or what exactly failed that caused the damage. But if there is some way, you know, I'll work with the homeowner.

I'm sure they want to be good neighbors to remedy that so that's not ongoing. Maybe try to find the source of that problem. And whether that's part of this application or just, you know, just through maintenance or another building permit, I'll have to figure that out.

And as far as larger storms, I mean we can certainly look at what we can fit. We are limited with space, as you saw on the plan with the retaining walls and setbacks.

You know, frankly, I would have love to infiltrate the stormwater, but I couldn't, based on the constraints. So we can take a more holistic look at this and make sure that there is no ongoing issues for the downhill neighbors.

BOARD MEMBER HAY: I'm sorry, Brian, can you just explain what you mean by infiltrate. I'm not sure everyone understands that, when you said you wish you could

1	infiltrate.
2	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Yeah, infiltrate, take
3	the stormwater, put it in an underground system and let
4	that percolate into the soil. It kind of takes the runoff
5	factor out, out of the runoff. What we have is the
6	detention system which slows the water down and that water
7	gets released slowly.
8	But, I guess, just if an infiltration would, I
9	think, in my opinion, function better, it just couldn't be
10	designed for this site.
11	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. I would just like a
12	clarification. Right now, that's Mr. Akapo, is that your
13	correct name? Okay. He's saying that water I just wan
14	to understand. Are you saying that right now water is
15	running off of that property into your yard?
16	MR. OLUROTIMI AKAPO: Right now, no. But based
17	on the last, during the last storm, that happened. The
18	last big storm we had, last prior month, two months ago.
19	MRS. ASHLEY AKAPO: Yes.
20	MR. OLUROTIMI AKAPO: Whenever that was, there
21	was
22	MRS. ASHLEY AKAPO: Washed down our hill into our
23	yard, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: From the applicant's property?

24

1	MRS. ASHLEY AKAPO: That's right.
2	MR. OLUROTIMI AKAPO: Correct.
3	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Washed down into your
4	property?
5	MR. OLUROTIMI AKAPO: Correct.
6	MRS. ASHLEY AKAPO: Yes.
7	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: I think that's something that
8	needs to be looked at. Because if we have a current
9	problem with runoff on the property, I think that needs to
10	be looked at in conjunction with what is happening now.
11	Aaron, yes?
12	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay. So if I may
13	respond to that, at least partially. So I think
14	Mr. Hildenbrand did say that, you know, he's happy to speak
15	with his client and look into the existing stormwater
16	management system for the house and the property that was
17	built and installed when the house was constructed
18	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Right.
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: the last couple
20	of years. So we certainly appreciate that. The one thing
21	I do want to mention is that, you know, unfortunately, we
22	had a very significant storm with, you know, clearly a lot
23	of damage throughout the Town.
24	And, you know, one of the things that I mentioned

before is that the Town Code speaks to designing for a

25-year storm. And a lot of times engineers and applicants will over design anyway.

But that doesn't capture every storm in the Town. And unfortunately, sometimes that creates problems. And it sounds like that's what happened here. So it would definitely be helpful for Mr. Hildenbrand to speak with his client, see if there is a clog or anything that can be done to clean this out so that it's functioning properly, so that, you know, the downstream folks, the Akapo's, can be protected.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: And also, as Aaron pointed out, the Code doesn't require it, the 25-year storm. But if, in looking at it, there is any room for you to improve upon that, I'm quite sure your neighbors would appreciate that.

MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Any other comments?

Mona Fraitag, yes?

BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Yeah. Is it possible to do the screen sharing one last time. I just want to look at the fencing that we're going to be having around the pool area.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I just chatted that to Mr. Hildenbrand.

BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Thank you.

1	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So it didn't come
2	up in the rendering. So the question, Mr. Hildenbrand is,
3	you know, With respect to pools, there is Building Code
4	requirements for safety fencing.
5	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Yes, good question.
6	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: And I didn't see it in the
7	rendering. I only saw it in certain spots. And I didn't
8	see it around the entire pool area. And I'm a little
9	concerned that people have access to this pool.
LO	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: It will, there is a
11	landscape architect plan. So I apologize if it's not on m
12	stormwater plan.
13	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: I just want to make sure
14	that there is a plan for fencing.
15	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Oh, yes, absolutely.
16	Yeah, it will be enclosed with a gate in the side yard.
17	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Okay.
18	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: The whole pool enclosure
19	will be
20	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: As it was coming down, it
21	just hit me that the fence didn't go around the whole pool
22	And I'm like, that's not right.
23	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: Yeah, and that probably
24	would have been the first comment from the Building

Inspector when he saw that.

1	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Yeah, and it just hit me
2	as it was coming down and then we went into questions.
3	Okay, thank you.
4	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Walter, I just want to
5	confirm what Aaron said. Is this system designed for 25
6	years or 100-year storm?
7	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, the Code is 25.
8	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah, no, but the question-
9	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: It is designed for the
10	25.
11	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Okay. Can you look at it,
12	what would be, the difference would be if you do a
13	100-year. Because now we have 100-year storms every couple
14	of years.
15	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Yeah, maybe that needs to
16	be reviewed.
17	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah.
18	MR. BRIAN HILDENBRAND: We could run the model
19	and run the numbers and see how it responds under the
20	larger storms and do what we can to offset the larger
21	events.
22	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Particularly, the steep
23	slope.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: That was the first
2.5	thing I asked, you know, is there an opportunity to do it.

1	And so we will ask Mr. Hildenbrand to look at that and give
2	us the information when he's able, you know, that would
3	certainly be appreciated.
4	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: And it's important that that
5	information is sent to us because I'm anticipating, not
6	unless something comes up in the next few minutes, to close
7	the Hearing and keep the record open.
8	So it would be important that you submit that
9	additional data and submit the drawing with the gate
10	around with the fencing around the pool. So when we
11	make the decision, we have all the updated information.
12	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Thank you.
13	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Okay.
14	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay. Any other comments?
15	If not, I make a motion that we close the Hearing and keep
16	the record open to?
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: October 27th.
18	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: October 22nd?
19	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: 27th, sorry.
20	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yeah, okay. The 27th,
21	because our next meeting
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: It's one week, nex
23	week.
24	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Okay, okay, the 27th, okay.
I	1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Do we have a

1	motion?
2	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Yes. I make a motion that we
3	close the Public Hearing and keep the record open to
4	October 27th.
5	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: All in favor? Aye.
7	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Aye.
8	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
9	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aye.
11	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
12	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
13	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER CAMPOZANO: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: Oppose?
15	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
16	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: None, okay. We already
17	indicated that Greystone will not be heard. So we have a
18	motion to close the Public Hearing section of tonight's
19	meeting and go back into work session?
20	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: So moved.
21	VICE CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Second.
22	CHAIRPERSON SIMON: All in favor? Aye.
23	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
24	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
25	VICE CHAIRDERSON SCHWARTZ. Ava

1	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
2	BOARD MEMBER HAY: Aye.
3	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER CAMPOZANO: Aye.
4	(Whereupon, the Public Hearings were concluded.)
5	
6	
7	
8	* * * * * * * *
9	
10	CERTIFICATION
11	
12	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript
13	of the stenographic minutes taken via Zoom
14	by the undersigned, to the best of her ability.
15	
16	Barbara Marciante
17	Barbara Marciante, Official Court Reporter
18	Official Coult Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	