	NN OF GREENBURGH ANNING BOARD
	ROLL CALL
5.	ITEMS FOR PUBLIC HEARING/PUBLIC DISCUSSION
	a) Case No. PB 22-20 Elmwood Preserve 850 Dobbs Ferry Road (P.O. White Plains, N.Y.)
	ADJOURNMENT.
	Greenburgh Town Hall 177 Hillside Avenue Greenburgh, New York 10607
	May 17, 2023
	WARDER DE MINITES DOLLD MERTING
	HYBRID PLANNING BOARD MEETING
	Barbara Marciante, Official Court Reporter

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	HUGH SCHWARTZ, CHAIRPERSON
5	THOMAS HAY, VICE CHAIRPERSON
6	WALTER SIMON, Board Member
7	JOHAN SNAGGS, Board Member KIRIT DESAI, Board Member
8	MICHAEL GOLDEN, Board Member(Not Present) MONA FRAITAG, Alternate Board Member
	LESLIE DAVIS, Board Member (Not Present)
10 11	AMANDA MAGANA, Esq., Deputy Town Attorney
12	
13	AARON SCHMIDT Deputy Commissioner of The Department of
14	Community Development and Conservation
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, welcome to the
2	Public Hearing portion of our meeting tonight.
3	Mr. Schmidt, please call the roll.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes. Chairperson
5	Schwartz?
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Here.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Hay?
8	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Here.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Simon?
10	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Here.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Desai?
12	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Here.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Snaggs?
14	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Here.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Ms. Fraitag?
16	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Here.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Ms. Fraitag will be
18	a full voting member in place of Mr. Golden, who is not
19	present. We also note for the record that Ms. Davis is not
20	present this evening.
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. We have one case on
22	for Public Hearing tonight. It's a continuation of a
23	Public Hearing from May 3rd. Good evening, Mr. Steinmetz.
24	Case PB 22-20, which is Elmwood Preserve. It's a
25	Preliminary Subdivision and Steep Slope Permit and a lot of

other things that we're not discussing tonight. We are only talking only the Subdivision. Could you just give a real two minutes for the public, just a two-minute overview of the project, please.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, Aaron and Amanda. Good to see you all. Good to be back. David Steinmetz from the Law Firm of Zarin & Steinmetz here representing Ridgewood/Elmwood with regard to the Elmwood Preserve Subdivision.

I'm joined tonight by James Caris from JMC, our client, Jonathan Grebow should be on. Aaron, could you confirm that Jonathan is on Zoom?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I can confirm that he's on Zoom.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Good deal. Great. In a nutshell, as the Board is well aware and the public should be aware, this application has been pending in one form or another in front of the Town for several years. This relates to the former Elmwood Country Club, the 109-acre parcel on Dobbs Ferry Road, partially zoned R-20, partially zoned R-30.

We originally filed an application for a rezoning of that site to permit the development of an active-adult 175 approximate multi-family unit, age-restricted Townhouse project. We spent several years, as you all know, in front

1 of the Town.

We received the endorsement of your Board to pursue that rezoning. We completed the full SEQRA process, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Final Environmental Impact Statement.

However, the Town Board ultimately determined not to rezone the property to permit the multi-family development. At which point, having completed the SEQRA process, we requested that this project be considered as a Conservation Subdivision in accordance with existing zoning; 113 single-family lots, leaving that portion of the property to the east of the Con Edison high tension wires available for dedication to the Town as open space in connection with the recreational fields that are part of East Rumbrook Park.

We've been in front of your Board now for several months processing that Conservation Subdivision. We're pleased that we are here tonight for the continuation of the Subdivision or the Public Hearing, I should say, on Preliminary Subdivision Plat Approval and bringing it current.

Aaron and Garrett were kind enough to share with us the memo that you received from your outside engineering consultants, LaBella. LaBella had a great deal of input and consideration and comments, really related to our

engineering issues, on stormwater, drainage and in particular in this last communication, phasing of the project.

We have had a number of conversations with staff over the last 24 hours. And we fully understand the comments. We're working our way through them. We believe that we can make a resubmission and address LaBella's concerns within the next two to three weeks.

We're not standing before you tonight telling you that we think you received something that was objectionable or blatantly incorrect. LaBella made some very good suggestions.

James, Diego and JMC are reworking some of the earthwork, really trying to figure out how best to ultimately phase the development of the project. And do it in a fashion that's orderly, safe and minimizes the cut and fill and the staging or storage of soil on site.

So, we're going to be coming back to you over the next couple of weeks. As far as we believe, those are technical engineering details. They don't go to the essence of the layout of the Subdivision, of the roads for the Subdivision, of the area of the open space.

As a result of which, we would suggest, and we would respectfully request, that your Board entertain closing the Public Hearing. There has been no public

comment on this Subdivision. There has been no public input, really, throughout our most recent process.

So we would ask that you close the Public

Hearing. That we proceed to Preliminary Subdivision

Approval at the appropriate time. And between Preliminary

and Final Subdivision, there will be a number of details

that we know we will be working on over the next many

months, both with staff and primarily with the County

Health Department.

So we have nothing affirmative, Mr. Chairman, to present. James and I are happy to answer questions.

Jonathan is on to answer any questions.

But we believe your Board has received voluminous information. SEQRA has been closed. There was a determination of no significant adverse Environmental Impact associated with this project. And we are anxious, as you can probably well imagine, to get to Preliminary and ultimately final Subdivision Approval.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, thank you,
Mr. Steinmetz. We are very happy that we have a wonderful
engineer that really cares and does things in detail.

Having said that, I agree with you that some of the things that are being discussed back and forth are of a technical engineering nature, beyond anything this Board should be working on. It should be left to the Town

Engineer, our consultants, people in Planning and the Building Inspector, not to us.

And so I think we agree with your course of action. I want Aaron just to give a topline of his view as to how the discussions have gone particularly in the last 24 hours. Aaron?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Right. So we did receive, as Mr. Steinmetz indicated, a Memorandum, Review Memorandum, from LaBella Associates on behalf of the Town.

Primarily, it's taken a look at engineering details of the project and that was received. We forwarded it along to the project team.

We then had a meeting with the project team, Town Staff and LaBella. We have Christopher Lapine on as well, who has been instrumental in preparing that as well. And there are some technical details related to cut and fill numbers.

There were some comments related to the stormwater management system, particularly like having some additional piping brought up the roadway and maybe a couple additional catch basins, things that are going to be ironed out.

And the team has indicated they can do that over the next two to three weeks. Get that back in time for LaBella to take a look at prior to this Board considering a

1 resolution for Preliminary Subdivision.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So we believe that within the next two to three weeks, we will get that submission in. We will get that immediately over to LaBella. They'll take a week or two to look it over.

And then I'll be able to report back to the Board that things have been satisfied to the point and other things can be handled in conditions as part of any decision by the Planning Board.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Mr. Lapine, do you have any comments or anything you would like to add at this point?

MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: No. I think you've had the opportunity to review our comment letter. What we were looking for here was some consistency between the sequencing plans and the erosion and sediment control plans so that they speak to one another.

My other concern -- and I had the opportunity to speak with the consultant today as well. We just want to make sure that the stock pile areas during the course of each phase is being taken into consideration in terms of the location and the size.

There are some early phases of the development where there will be a considerable amount of excess fill.

And perhaps they should consider maybe expanding a certain portion of their phases so that their stock piles aren't so large associated with each particular phase.

For example, Phase Three, there is about over 40,000 yards of excess fill resulting in a stock pile area would be about 75 feet high. I think there are other portions of the project site where they can distribute the fill that's necessary.

Maybe up in Tully Court or the northeast corner of the project site where there is a need for fill. We had the opportunity to discuss that with them. They did say that it made some sense to revisit this.

We also asked, for clarity purposes, that some narratives be provided for each phase. I think it's for the benefit of the Board to understand what is being contemplated during each phase of the construction. And getting some input from the DEC and Westchester County on the actual stockpiles, whether they are going to be commingled or segregated.

We do have some impact so that it's going to have a clean fill cover on it. And we would just like some more information on that. We are going to be constructing residences during the course of this construction phasing.

And I think it's imperative that we protect the future residences.

One other comment that our office did pick up on, and this is up to the Board's discretion, is during the construction of Phase Two of the sequencing schedule, the intent is to build all the roadways on the site, including all the utilities.

It includes on the plan a connection to Valley

View Road. I'm not sure if that early on in the

construction, if there may be an opportunity that we may be

having construction traffic go through there or not.

But maybe perhaps one of the conditions, from the Board's perspective, is since the future residences in that particular area aren't being built until phase seven through nine, maybe that portion of the site can only be used for emergency access so that we don't have a lot of traffic coming through that particular neighborhood.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: So if I can respond to Mr. Lapine. First and foremost, on behalf of my client and our team, we're really delighted that Chris and his group are involved. And having worked with Mr. Lapine in the past, appreciate his knowledge and participation.

Chris may not know, obviously doesn't, that the connection to Valley View was at the request of your Board for emergency access only. We have no desire to, and have not proposed that as a full interconnection for vehicular movement, nor have we requested an interconnection for

1 construction access, at least at this point.

So totally agree with the comment, Chris. Just so you're clear, we don't need it. We didn't ask for it. However, we did respect the Planning Board's wishes that we have an area for both vehicular and pedestrian emergency access.

MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: Okay, that's great. If we can just sign it as that perhaps early on so everyone would understand it.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Sure, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Do you have something else, Aaron?

MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: And I think the consultants understand the gist of trying to understand how the projects actually go in the sequence during each particular phase in terms of the installation of the erosion and sediment control measures and the sediment traps.

The sediment traps for this project are imperative for controlling sediment laden runoff to the on-site wetlands and off site. We need to have a better idea of when they are going to be implemented as well.

And lastly, and I just want to share with the Board, there is -- and we spoke to this. We were made aware about a month ago that the Board has reconsidered

on-site infiltration versus on-site attenuation, which we did not see an issue with as presented. We're not looking for a detailed design at this stage of every particular lot.

But we did ask for purposes of the utility plan, at a minimum, that the applicant consider giving us an invert elevation similarly to what we would do for a sewer lateral or water line so that we can confirm that we don't have any storm and sanitary or storm and work conflicts within the roadway.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: That will be part of an upcoming submission.

MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: And I think the rest of the comments, as you indicated, are technical in nature, very easily adjustable. We also do ask, if we can get a response letter to our outstanding stormwater pollution prevention plan comments.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: They are nodding their heads. I think that means yes.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Probably.

MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: Thank you. And I know we're in a short time frame here, two to three weeks. So feel free, if it's necessary, to reach out to me if you have some questions regarding particular sequencing.

Again, if you want to look at, you know, do some

1	kind of offline meetings. So that when we get We can	
2	get closer to the finish line in two to three weeks as	
3	opposed to being, you know, maybe a baton toss away.	
4	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Thank you for the offer.	
5	Appreciate that.	
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aaron, you have something	
7	you want to say?	
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I just wanted to	
9	clarify for the record, we were referring to the Park View	
10	Road as the emergency access point off site, rather than	
11	Valley View Road.	
12	In addition, and it may have been mentioned, but	
13	there was discussion as well regarding putting together a	
14	narrative, a detailed narrative, related to the	
15	construction sequencing. That was something that LaBella	
16	wanted.	
17	We thought it would benefit the Board and the	
18	Town Staff as well. So the team has indicated they will	
19	get that within the two, three-week period.	
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Amanda, did you have	
21	something you wanted to say?	
22	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGNA: No, it had to do	
23	with that.	
24	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.	
25	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGNA: And to confirm	

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Go ahead.
2	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGNA: Still planned to be
3	used for construction access or is it
4	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: No. No, just the
5	emergency access.
6	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: No.
7	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGNA: Okay. Just
8	confirming.
9	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I have one question, when
10	I went through this stuff yesterday, and that has to do
11	with the phasing.
12	I'm questioning how these houses are going to be
13	built? I understand about the infrastructure and all of
14	that to get to that point. But are these going to be built
15	to suit house by house? And how does that effect the
16	phasing program?
17	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Mr. Schwartz, it's Jonathan
18	on Zoom. If I may?
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Go ahead, Jonathan.
20	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: So as far as how the houses
21	are built, most of them will be models built and then most
22	of them will be built to suit, you know, contracted and
23	then a house built; very few on spec.
24	I think one of the things we've seen from the
25	comments from Mr. Lapine is that we need to I think you

are going to see a revision that has fewer phases. I think you're going to see one mass grading phase, then you'll maybe see a pipe phase and a couple of road phases.

And then the builders will work, you know, builder will work their way through the site, probably from closer to -- closer to the entrance and exit, working their way back into this site.

I don't see there being a lot of, as I said, a lot of spec building or prairie building, building out in the areas where the roads aren't there yet.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Will that depend on the customer? If a customer liked a particular lot, let's say near the north end of the property, would that be something that they could -- that they -- could prairie build under that or not? I'm just asking.

MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: I mean, typically, I will tell you, that we don't allow or recommend that to our -- CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.

MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Because it just creates a real hardship. I just cannot guarantee that. It depends on what the market is.

Lately, you know, for the last five years, you've been able to tell our buyers anything you want. If, you know, meaning you're going to stay over here and we will let you know when these other lots are ready.

1	I don't know what the economy is going to bring	
2	over the next couple of years. Builders may be needing to	
3	be a little bit more open to customer's requests.	
4	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Are there any other	
5	questions from the Board?	
6	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yes.	
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Kirit?	
8	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: So what is the timeline for	
9	the first house being built and the close-out of the	
10	project by the contractor?	
11	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: I think you've asked me	
12	that a few times. It was five years ago.	
13	Being straight forward, right, our timeline is to	
14	start immediately. As soon as we can break ground, we will	
15	be breaking ground.	
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: No. I think the question	
17	he's asking, though, Jonathan	
18	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: The phasing, the part of the	
19	phasing.	
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: He's basically asking,	
21	from the time you are allowed to put a shovel in the	
22	ground	
23	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: How long until the first	
24	model goes up.	
25	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: As soon as I can get a	

1	building permit for it.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Then I think you're
3	asking
4	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Immediately.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: how long until the end
6	of the project?
7	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: That's a different
8	question.
9	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: That's a different question,
10	yeah.
11	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: That's going to be market
12	driven. It's 113-single family houses
13	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: It's definitely
14	market-driven.
15	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: is quite a bit of
16	absorption.
17	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: A few years ago I would
18	have told you something very different than I would
19	probably tell you today.
20	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: I understand that. The
21	reason is that there are a lot of things like emergency
22	access to the certain roads.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Doing the roads first
24	anyway.
25	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah. So a lot of access

and the entry and exit to the development. And so it is going to be when they break ground or it's going to be in the middle of it or --

MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Yeah, I'm sure, Mr. Lapine, your Department of Public Works and your professionals are going -- when we suggest the first phase of road, we're going to be surely going to loop that road. They are not going to let me do a dead end.

So we always take into account fire and emergency services, to be able to loop it. You know, we will loop it and probably have a discussion about the secondary entrance, if we can't loop it appropriately.

And I think, as Mr. Lapine said, emergency access, as we get to the back, we have no interest in bringing truck traffic through the neighborhood. Neighbors are -- I don't want to hear neighbor complaints, if I can help it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I understand.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Kirit, the soonest that we can build that emergency access and that the professionals determine it's appropriate, obviously, it's going on.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: What he's saying is will there be a secondary --

MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: And we also need to be careful about public safety.

MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Secondary access will go i
at the early phase because that's right on Dobbs Ferry
Road.
CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: By definition, Jonathan,
what I hear you saying is you will make sure there is a
secondary access at all times as you phase in the roadways
right? By doing a loop or something?
MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Well, I think what I'm
saying is we make sure to loop, right. I think the loop i
the key in phase one. I don't know if we have two
entrances or exits open.
CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I don't mean that. I mea
that you'll at least have a loop or something.
MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Oh, yeah, yeah. Or
you're building a temporary cul-de-sac that meets fire
truck standards or a hammer head or, you know, we've done
it all. I think here it will set up for a loop.
A lot of times, Mr. Schwartz, we, frankly, will
put in all the roads at once, just because it's a little
bit easier, rather than trying to get everything phased or
circular or sometimes we will just put in all the
improvements.
But after my team's conversation with Mr. Lapine

today, we met with JMC and discussed a revised phasing that

24

25

we are working on.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. We look forward to 1 that. Any other comments from the Board? 2 3 BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Yes, I have. 4 CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Walter, go ahead. 5 BOARD MEMBER SIMON: I have two questions. first is, is there any -- Do you have any issues with the 6 7 proposals that were made by our consultant? Do you have 8 any problems with that? MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: So Walter, we're working 9 10 our way through all of them. I don't think we do, but we 11 will be in touch with your staff and with Mr. Lapine. 12 And if we see a problem or if there is some 13 technical disagreement, I'm sure JMC will explain that. 14 But as I said in my initial comments, we don't find 15 anything that we read objectionable. 16 BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay. 17 MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: We understand what Chris 18 and his group are trying to accomplish. And Jonathan has 19 already said, he's reconsidering reshuffling the phasing to 20 make it probably easier for him as well as conducive to 21 satisfying LaBella. 22 BOARD MEMBER SIMON: And my other question is 23 directed to Hugh and Aaron. We talked about, you know, we 24 sort of threw a few things, time frames, around. You know, 25 how many weeks.

1	Do we have any rough idea when we will get any	
2	all of the information in hand so we could schedule the	
3	next meeting and finalize this project?	
4	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: We do.	
5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Right, we do.	
6	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay.	
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Aaron, you can go through	
8	it.	
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Sure. So staff	
10	will suggest that the Planning Board consider closing the	
11	Public Hearing this evening. Leaving the written record	
12	open for a four-week period, to June 14th.	
13	If everything is addressed within that time	
14	period, the Board would be in a position to consider a	
15	decision on June 21st.	
16	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay.	
17	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: The one thing we have to	
18	be careful of is you're 60 days from closing the Public	
19	Hearing. So you did to be We've done this before. If	
20	it happens, we need to be flexible, that's all.	
21	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Hugh, am I not a flexible	
22	guy?	
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Like I said, we need to be	
24	flexible.	
25	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: David, I think he was	

1	talking about me.
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So you're open to
3	the flexibility in this instance?
4	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Jonathan, I was trying to
5	cover for you. Keep quiet. Turn your mic off.
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I just wanted to point
7	that out.
8	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Understood. We are very
9	well aware of the time frame.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: It would be terrific if we
11	could tie this thing up by the 14th of June in terms of
12	everything to the Planning Board so the Planning Board can
13	actually make a decision on the 21st. That would be our
14	goal.
15	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Regardless of what Jonathan
16	will say, he's greatly appreciative of the fact that the
17	Board is entertaining closing the Public Hearing tonight.
18	And together with JMC, we are going to try to get
19	that information into the Town as quickly as possible.
20	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Absolutely.
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Any other comments from
23	the Board?
24	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: No.
25	MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: If I can add one,

1	Mr. Chairman, I would just ask, we learned today there's	
2	been some back and forth correspondence with the DEC	
3	regarding the handling of the on-site material.	
4	I would just ask that the Town be copied on the	
5	correspondence so that we can understand what direction the	
6	DEC is progressing in.	
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Did you hear that?	
8	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: I'll make sure to send an	
9	email to my team right now.	
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Mr. Lapine.	
11	Anybody else from the public want to speak on this project?	
12	(Whereupon, there was no response.)	
13	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Nobody on Zoom wants to	
14	speak on this project?	
15	(Whereupon, there was no response.)	
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. I will take a	
17	motion to close the Public Hearing and keep the record oper	
18	to June 14th.	
19	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: So moved.	
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Walter. Do I have a	
21	second?	
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Second.	
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Tom Hay seconds. All in	
24	favor? Aye.	
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Aye.	

1	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Aye.
2	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
3	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
4	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
5	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All opposed?
6	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Abstentions?
8	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
9	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, that passes. Thank
10	you.
11	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Thank you all. See you
12	soon.
13	MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: Thank you very much.
14	Have a good evening.
15	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Mr. Lapine.
17	Thank you, Jonathan.
18	MR. CHRISTOPHER LAPINE: You're welcome.
19	MR. JONATHAN GREBOW: Thank you very much.
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Can I have a motion to
21	close the Public Hearing portion of our meeting tonight?
22	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: So moved.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Kirit. Can I have a
24	second?
25	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Second.

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Second, Walter. All in
2	favor? Aye.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Aye.
4	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Aye.
5	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
6	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
7	BOARD MEMBER FRAITAG: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All opposed?
9	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
11	(Whereupon, the Public Hearings were concluded.)
12	
13	* * * * * * * *
14	
15	
16	CERTIFICATION
17	
18	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript
19	of the stenographic minutes taken on May 17,
20	2023 by the undersigned, to the best of her ability.
21	
22	
23	Barbara Marciante
24	Barbara Marciante, Official Court Reporter
25	