1. ROT.	x L CALL
I. IOH	
5. ITE	MS FOR PUBLIC HEARING/PUBLIC DISCUSSION
a.	CASE NO. PB 23-24 LEE & CHENG
	260 South Healy Avenue (P.O. Scarsdale, N.Y.)
	(F.O. Scalsuale, N.I.)
b.	CASE NO. PB 20-09
	Lightbridge Academy 529 West Central Park Avenue
	(P.O. Scarsdale, N.Y.)
ADJOUR	NMENT.
	x
	Greenburgh Town Hall 177 Hillside Avenue
	Greenburgh, New York 1060 August 7, 2024
	HYBRID PLANNING BOARD MEETING
	BARBARA MARCIANTE,
	Official Court Repo

1	
2	
3	APPEARANCES:
4	
5	HUGH SCHWARTZ, CHAIRPERSON THOMAS HAY, VICE CHAIRPERSON(Virtually)
6	THORIES THAT, VIOL CHARTCHEROON (VII CAUTLY)
7	WALTER SIMON, Board Member KIRIT DESAI, Board Member
8	MICHAEL GOLDEN, Board Member(Not Present) LESLIE DAVIS, Board Member
9	JOHAN SNAGGS, Board Member AISHA SPARKS, Alternate Board Member
10	
11	AMANDA MAGANA, Deputy Town Attorney
12	
13	AARON SCHMIDT,
14	Deputy Commissioner of The Department of Community Development and Conservation
15	
16	MATTHEW BRITTON,
17	Town Planner, Department of Community Development and Conservation
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	
- 0	

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Welcome to the Public
2	Hearing portion of our meeting tonight. Mr. Schmidt,
3	please call the roll.
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Chairperson
5	Schwartz?
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Here.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Simon?
8	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Here.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Snaggs?
10	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Here.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Ms. Davis?
12	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Here.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Desai?
14	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Here.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Ms. Sparks, our
16	Alternate?
17	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER SPARKS: Present.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Hay on Zoom?
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Here.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Mr. Golden is not
21	present this evening. So Ms. Sparks will be a full voting
22	member.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. First case is PB
24	23-24, Lee and Cheng. That's 260 South Healy Avenue, for a
25	Wetland Watercourse Permit and a Tree Removal Permit. Can

the applicant take us -- Give your name and address and take us through the project, please.

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Yes. My name is Vageles Sophias with Hartland Engineering. We prepared the site engineering plans for the project. The site is located at 260 South Healy Avenue.

So we have here is the lot, subject lot is located on South Healy Avenue as shown on the screen right now, the Google images. There is an existing home there right now that has been -- that burnt down. There was a fire, fire damage, extensive fire damage. And the proposed project will be to remove that single-family home and replace it with a single-family home as well.

The site is accessed by one -- by an existing driveway to the north side of the site as shown in this location of this red dot. The plan is for that existing driveway to be reused in order to enter into the site.

The site is elevated at a plateau. It's a substantial grade change on the lot. I'll just zoom in here a little bit just to show some of the existing conditions. Just wait one second.

So this is the street view where we're showing and the existing home is perched up at the top of this, the center of the lot itself.

And then moving to the plan, the plan -- the

rendering itself just to get an idea of what the home will look like. So this is a rendering from the architectural plans. And for reference, it's two stories with a basement, four-bedroom home.

So the plan that we have up on the screen is the site plan. So again, the existing driveway is to the north side of the site, which will be utilized again as the proposed development.

The home essentially is in the same location — the proposed home is essentially in the same location as the existing home simply because of just the steep slopes that surround this site.

The project itself, we will be proposing to remove one tree in the backyard. And then in addition to that, a key feature to the site, the south, on the south side of the site, there is a watercourse. We went and presented this in front of this Board and the --

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Conservation.

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: The Conservation, thank you. And they provided some feedback. And we've implemented that into the plans, but nothing substantial.

In addition to that, the plans themselves have been submitted to the Bureau of Engineering. After a few rounds of comments, they are satisfactory with our approach.

So the existing conditions, as they stand right now, is all of the storm water essentially just discharges off the site. There -- ultimately there isn't a storm water management system.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Currently?

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Currently. Again, with back and forth with the Bureau of Engineering, the storm water management for the site is presented on the screen. The general approach is all of the impervious area on site will be directed to a storm water management system.

A good thing to point out is that the overall impervious coverage, we actually reduce it by just under 200 square feet. So ultimately, there's no net change to the coverages as a whole.

The storm water management system, again, is from the roof and the partial -- about 60 percent of the driveway is directed to an underground storm water management detention system that will collect the storm water and release it at a reduced rate.

The second portion of the storm water management system, which is to the north of a proposed trench drain, will be directed to a drainage swale off to the south, to the south portion of the driveway. That drainage swale is comprised of drainage stone in order to mitigate that water at the base of the drainage swale. There's also a catch

basin that will collect the storm water.

In addition to that, because of the tree removal, we are also planting additional trees, four additional trees, to offset that in order to comply with the requirements. Ultimately, yes, that, in general, is the project overview of this project.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. I want to entertain questions from the Board, but we will only do it one at a time. So if you want to speak, please raise your hand.

Walter, and then Kirit.

BOARD MEMBER SIMON: One point of clarification,

I see you have the drainage at the end, well, about halfway
down, 40 percent down the driveway, that goes into that
retention pond. I'm assuming, correct me if I'm wrong,
that it's there and it's not at the end because of the
difference in height?

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: That's correct. So that's a good point. The one thing that I did not mention is that the site itself -- We performed three investigations, three tests pits essentially triangulating the area.

Based on that, those tests pits, ground -bedrock varies anywhere from 18 to 24 inches below grade.

So in order to -- If we were to install -- We're
strategically installing the detention system uphill at
that location because that would allow the water to collect

1	into this detention system and get released at a much
2	slower rate.
3	If we were to install this detention system down
4	at the base, ultimately, what we would be doing is just
5	installing a bathtub at the bottom of this because we would
6	have to excavate rock. And ultimately, it would become a
7	pool and water would just run out and
8	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay. But my question was
9	not the location of the Cultec system. Yeah, obviously,
10	you can't install that on rock.
11	My question is, at the end of the driveway, is
12	there any Is there anything that can be done to capture
13	that water to put either in that retention pond or well,
14	obviously, you can't put it uphill. But whether or not, is
15	there anything you can do to capture that at the retention
16	basin?
17	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Yes. So just north of that
18	trench drain, the driveway is designed to slope towards a
19	drainage swale.
20	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay. You answered my

BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Okay. You answered my question. You did, you answered my question. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That was something we handled at the Work Session. I think we discussed that.

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Kirit, go ahead.
2	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah, I think it's related
3	to what Walter said, some of the things you clarified. So
4	if that slope is toward the house from the driveway, where
5	I understand that drain at the end of the driveway brings
6	the water back to the back to the thing back to the
7	retention tanks or to the
8	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Well, I guess one point of
9	clarification, from the bottom of the drain, it goes up.
10	It slopes up from the property line.
11	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: So where does the water go
12	on that bottom drain, I think is the question.
13	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: At this at the base of
14	the
15	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Driveway.
16	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: of the swale in the
17	driveway, it collects
18	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Yeah.
19	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: and daylights at this
20	location. So it discharges it.
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. So it just
22	percolates there.
23	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
25	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Ultimately, most of the

1	water is captured in that drainage swale.
2	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, yeah.
3	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Oh, so it's not much of the
4	water, it's going to come back to the retention.
5	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: No. No.
6	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Okay. So what is that other
7	dash line mean?
8	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: This dash line?
9	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah.
10	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: That's the overflows, the
11	orifice and the overflow from the detention tank.
12	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: And then it goes to the
13	street?
14	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: That's correct.
15	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: The front yard, not
16	directly to the curb.
17	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: No, no.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: It goes to the
19	front yard.
20	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: No, no, to the front yard,
21	correct.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Right. And that
23	was reviewed by the Town Engineer and deemed acceptable.
24	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Right.
25	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Any other questions from

1	the Board?
2	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, I will entertain
4	questions from the public on this. Can I have a show of
5	hands who wants to speak? You want to speak?
6	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: And then you, okay. Come
8	on up.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Just give us your
10	name and address for the record at the mic. Thank you.
11	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: My name is Andre
12	Pochacco(ph). I live 140 North Healy Avenue. And it looks
13	like I was a little bit confused about location of this
14	project now. Because right here in your paperwork, it says
15	500 feet south from the corner of South Healy and
16	Elizabeth.
17	And I was there today. I took a few pictures.
18	That's why I'm taking the picture of today. And on the
19	other side and at the location, I thought that the project
20	is going to take place, it's totally inaccessible.
21	It's absolutely impossible to build anything
22	there at all because there is a steep incline down to the
23	creek. So am I talking about the wrong location?
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So you'll speak to

the Board. And to answer your question, so the property

25

1	does have a small creek at the lower elevation point on
2	that property.
3	But the location at which they are proposing to
4	construct is in place of, roughly, in place of the home
5	that more or less burnt down.
6	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: No, that is the whole point.
7	I don't see the burned house 500 feet from corner of
8	Elizabeth and South Healy South
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay, so we're
10	talking about the same property. And I apologize for any
11	confusion on our end.
12	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: Okay, but I'll talk to you
13	later. I can verify where the location is.
14	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That could be done. That
15	could be done. I mean this is an existing
16	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: Because the whole property
17	goes all the way to the Healy South, I want to also make
18	sure
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: There is a burnt down
20	house on the property still. So you should be able to see
21	it and you can work it out, too, to see it, okay. Thank
22	you.
23	MR. ANDRE POCHACCO: Okay.
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: You're free to
25	speak after the meeting.

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, great. Come on up.
2	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Hi, how are you. It's Pat
3	Cook and Rob Rosenberg.
4	MR. ROB ROSENBERG: Rob Rosenberg.
5	MS. PATRICIA COOK: We live at 15 Meadowview
6	Drive. Also, as you guys have it there, as Zero Meadowview
7	Drive, down the hill. So I guess I just wanted
8	clarification on two things. We're talking about water so
9	we can start with the water.
10	You mentioned two different If you can take
11	that down, that would be great. You mentioned two
12	different drainage areas. One is, I think those four
13	circles and then another one and a slow drainage. So I'm
14	trying to get where that's going to be. And also where was
15	it before?
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So we will have the
17	applicant respond to that. Did you have any other
18	questions?
19	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Yeah. The other one was,
20	excuse me, you mentioned one tree being taken down and four
21	being put in its place. Where are those?
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We will have him go
23	over that as well.
24	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Okay.
25	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Did you want to

1	MR. ROB ROSENBERG: Yeah. And really the
2	question about the tree, it's just that it's an extreme
3	steep slope behind the back of the property. So our
4	concern is just, you know, in terms of a tree coming down,
5	obviously, if it's a controlled takedown, that's fine.
6	You know, if not, there are potential
7	ramifications down that hill, which is where we're located.
8	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That's why we have a Tree
9	Ordinance and they'll have a permit.
10	MS. PATRICIA COOK: And I will say
11	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: They will have a permit.
12	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Yeah, so you're aware of
13	that. In the past, it was probably not even these owners.
14	It was probably a prior owner. But literally somebody cut
15	a tree down there and sliced it, rolled it down the hill,
16	like bullets, and almost killed my kids on the swing set.
17	MR. ROB ROSENBERG: Yeah.
18	MS. PATRICIA COOK: So I'm a little concerned
19	when I hear about trees being cut down and the waterways
20	after
21	MR. ROB ROSENBERG: Uphill.
22	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Yeah.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That's why we even have a
24	17-page Tree Ordinance
25	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Gotcha.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: -- and a person who watches the Tree Ordinance.

slope.

MS. PATRICIA COOK: Well, it will keep you busy.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: And speaking to a

little bit with respect to the tree. So the Planning

Board, when this project was first presented to the Board,

there was a question about the potential to keep the large

Oak tree, which is centered on the lot like right behind

the existing home. So it's not into the slope and down the

And they asked the applicant and the professional to look into the potential to preserve it. But due to the rock out there and the little bit of site work that they do have to do, the root system would be impacted to the point that it could become a future hazard.

MS. PATRICIA COOK: Right.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So the idea, and it's highlighted now, the location was to remove it, replace it with four trees. The four trees are actually going to be further into the backyard. So we will have the applicant go through those to mitigate the removal of this Oak tree.

In terms of it being removed, there is going to be a perimeter or a limit of disturbance fencing that they'll be working within. Probably, and I don't want to

1	speak for the team, but in all likelihood, they'll
2	probably, at the time of demolition of the home, they'll
3	also do the takedown.
4	And there is all sorts of insurance documents
5	that the Town receives and we have the ordinance in place,
6	as the Chairperson indicated. But we will have him speak
7	to the drainage and show you where the trees are going to
8	be
9	MS. PATRICIA COOK: The before and after. I'm
10	curious about where the water is going.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes.
12	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Okay, thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Again, would you please
14	ask to be recognized. Mr. Kirit
15	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah.
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Mr. Desai, would you like
17	to speak, Mr. Desai?
18	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah. Question to Aaron, is
19	there Do we have a landscape
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Plan?
21	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yes.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes. And the
23	landscaping shown on the plan now highlighted in green
24	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: He can go over it with
25	Mr. Desai, that's okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.

1	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Thank you.
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you.
3	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Yeah, the applicant can
4	answer that question, too.
5	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, so you have a couple
6	of questions that need to be answered that I heard. One is
7	discuss a little bit more of the drainage and how it gets
8	dissipated, okay. That's number one.
9	Number two, I hear just about what's going on
10	with the trees and the landscape plan as well. Is there a
11	third one I missed?
12	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Well, just with the water
13	more specifically. Where was it before and where is it
14	going now? Is it a change?
15	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Where are the
16	changes in the drainage from what was there existing.
17	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Because it wasn't a problem
18	before.
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Yes, okay.
20	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Yes.
22	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: So I guess I'll work
23	backwards. So the existing tree, again, is highlighted in
24	yellow that's shown on the plan right now, so you see the
25	proximity of the proposed home to that tree. And then the

four trees that are being planted to replace that are the four trees that are planted in green.

And then in response to the tree removal, the tree removal will be performed in accordance to the requirements of the municipality. And all safety measures need to be adhered to --

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: I said that the tree will be removed in accordance to the municipality's requirements and all safety measures will be taken by the contractor as it's required by them.

So the storm water management system. So right now, ultimately what happens is the rain water from the roof and the driveway just discharge out into the roadway and down the hill, likely towards where it seems like towards your home.

What is happening, what we are -- What the project is proposing is the storm water from the roof is just collected by a gutter system, right, that you would see on every home. That gutter system is conveyed to a storm water management system.

These are the four circles that are located in this driveway. These are, they are called detention tanks. They will collect this water. They are precast concrete tanks. They will collect the water at the base. And I

will flip to the detail for it.

But what happens is, they get conveyed to these systems at the bottom of this southern tank. There is an orifice, a small pipe, that slowly releases the storm water. And that storm water is released to the front yard at a reduced rate.

So that manages the storm water from the building, from the home, and also it manages approximately 60 percent of the driveway. The remainder 40 percent of that driveway is designed where it's sloped from north to south. It goes into a drainage swale.

I'll also show a detail of that, ultimately. So it drains into this gravel drainage swale where, essentially, it will slow down and percolate through.

And then as an additional measure, we have a catch basin located at the base of the driveway just to collect anything that passes over and then gets released into the front yard area.

And then -- So this is the detail for the drainage swale. So again, it's collected into a drainage -- into this gravel bed. As you can see, the swale that we have here is 18 inches. So it does collect a substantial amount of volume and dissipated with the gravel.

And then this is one of the four tanks that we

have where the storm water enters into the site -- into the tank and gets released at this bottom 4-inch outlet pipe at a reduced rate.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: And then, if I may, whereas today, because you asked about current conditions, and correct me if I'm wrong, you indicated that today there are no gutters. There are no underground tanks.

Where ever the water hits, it flows in whatever downhill direction it can. There is a lot of land between the slope and the wooded area, that area is not going to be disturbed in connection with the project.

The trees that are to be planted in the rear yard will be up at the top of the area and not into the slope.

There won't be anything cut out of the slope. So that will be protected as part of the project.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All right. There was one gentleman from the back there. Come on up. Thanks.

MR. ELIO LOO: I'm Elio Loo. I live on 278 South Healy. And the question is very simple. On the lower, the south watercourse, probably I misunderstood, but there will be no water from the work being done that will be located to that part, right?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We will have the applicant address that.

MR. ELIO LOO: Okay. And the second is, in one

of the drawings that I saw, and marked in yellow, the boundary of that yellow mark, it takes part of the creek or the easement part.

So the question is, I live there for almost 30 years. I understand that that brook is an easement that is not -- does not belong to any of us or the new owners or myself. But the line is as so.

If that were so, that the line indicates the boundary of the property, then I'd been trying to get the Town to fix that for since 1999. But I've always been, you know -- They told me no, we can't do.

But if it belongs to somebody else, then maybe we can work out to fix it. Because it's in very dear condition.

In fact, I've been talking with the DPW now and see what we can do based on what they did. The good job they did on the back of the house that on their property. Which by the way, now two stones fell so they need to come back and fix that part. Okay, that's it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Thank you very much.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Before you do respond, with respect to the watercourse itself, we can check in with our Department of Public Works. But my understanding is that where this watercourse flows through

1	private property, that it is the responsibility of the
2	private property owners to maintain.
3	If there is an easement, we will follow up on
4	that. But there is no right of way if not owned by the
5	Town. It's ownership of the private property owners.
6	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: What if it actually is on
7	the applicant's property, would the applicant entertain
8	cleaning that thing up as part of this project?
9	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Yes.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Debris, things of
12	that nature, anything that may be impeding flows
13	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: That's correct. If there
14	is any foliage, branches, what have you, that certainly
15	could be cleaned up.
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Right. Okay.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay.
18	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: And I guess, if I'm
19	understanding correctly, the project does not have any
20	direct connection to this watercourse.
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Any other questions
23	from anybody?
24	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
25	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, then I will take a

1	motion to close the Public Hearing and leave the record
2	open until
3	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Leave the record
4	open, in this case, for two weeks until August 21st. So
5	our next meeting is not until September 4th.
6	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: So moved.
7	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: So moved.
8	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Kirit and Walter.
9	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: I'll second.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All in favor? Aye.
11	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
12	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Aye.
13	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
14	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Aye.
15	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Aye.
16	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER SPARKS: Aye.
17	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All opposed?
18	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Any abstentions?
20	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, it passes. Okay,
22	thank you very much.
23	MR. VAGELES SOPHIAS: Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Have a good evening.
25	Thank you for the people coming out. A lot of comments.

1	Good seeing you guys.
2	MS. PATRICIA COOK: Good seeing you.
3	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Have a good
4	evening.
5	
6	* * * * * * * * * *
7	
8	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, Case PB 20-09,
9	Lightbridge Academy. Please take any conversations
10	outside, please, so we can keep going. Thank you.
11	Okay, Lightbridge Academy, 529 Central Park
12	Avenue, P.O. Scarsdale, an amendment to Site Plan and
13	Special Use Permit. Seemed to have been here again.
14	As I understand now, we have two things that have
15	happened since the last time we had met. One of them is
16	that the Zoning Board did not make a decision because they
17	wanted to see the as-built plan, which you can tell us what
18	the status of that is.
19	And second, we have now found out that there is a
20	permanent awning that goes over the play area outside and
21	also that that requires a variance.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Is that correct,
24	Ms. Magana?
25	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: Yes.

1 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All right. So if you want to give me an update on that thing, those things, in terms of where we are with the as-built and all of that. And then we can talk, I don't know what else we need to talk about tonight but.

MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Sure. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, and Town Staff. My name is Matthew Dudley from Harris Beach, PLLC., attorney for the applicant, 529 Central Park Avenue, LLC. with respect to this application for an Amended Site Plan Approval and Special Permit Approval for the operation of its child daycare center at 529 Central Park Avenue.

It's known as Lightbridge Academy. It's been operating for a number of years now.

As Mr. Chairman explained, since our last meeting before your Board in July, that was on July 17th, we had an as-built survey prepared for the site so that the revised submissions, plans could be overlaid upon that as-built survey to show what the condition of the site is currently after it had been built up.

That as-built survey and revised plans have been provided to the Town. We worked with Mr. Schmidt and Mr. Britton to revise those plans. In addition, the Building Department, Building Inspector, reviewed the

1 revised plans.

The revised plans show a canopy. It's a shade over the play area of the daycare center that impedes upon the front yard setback of the property by approximately five feet. That setback is a 20-foot setback.

It's approximately 15 feet from the border of the property to the edge of that canopy shade. Thus, requiring an additional area variance from the Zoning Board.

As Mr. Chairman explained, last month, although the then current application for one area variance for less than the minimum required off-street parking spaces have been -- the Hearing had been closed for decision last month.

The Zoning Board decided to reopen the Hearing for new information, specifically that being any new information provided by the as-built which, in turn, does require an additional minor area variance for that canopy.

Additionally, and Jesse Cokeley is here with me tonight from Colliers Engineering. He also worked with Jason Coppola, the Town Engineer, and Town Counsel. They met, virtually, I believe yesterday, to run by the revised submission with the Engineering Department. And also I believe --

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Do you have a report on that, what happened at that meeting?

1	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Jesse I was not
2	there personally, but Jesse
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, let Jesse do it and
4	Amanda, if you can add anything.
5	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: Sure.
6	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Do you want to speak
7	to that a little bit?
8	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Yeah. Well, I was going to
9	go through everything.
10	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Okay.
11	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Well, you can
12	finish your presentation.
13	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Okay. And then we
14	also note that John Canning, the Town's outside traffic
15	consultant, has also reviewed the plans and at least opined
16	upon the revised submission of the crosswalk from the
17	sidewalk that runs parallel to the driveway up to those ADA
18	parking spaces that we propose for this revision.
19	We're currently scheduled to be on the Zoning
20	Board's Hearing for the two area variances next week, next
21	Thursday, I believe, the 15th.
22	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
23	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: And I have Jesse here
24	to explain anything in more detail and answer any questions
25	that you may have.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: Thanks, Matt. Jesse Cokeley, again, with Colliers Engineering and Design. So as Matt indicated, we were here on the 17th of July. We got the surveyor back out there the week of the 22nd. We incorporated the as-built information into the Amended Site Plan and resubmitted that on July 31st.

The revisions to the plan, to the Site Plan, I should say, include the as-built information for the retaining wall with elevations and the drainage structures that were specifically requested at the last Planning Board meeting.

We're also showing the proposed bollards added to the plan where the site driveway is up against the retaining wall and turns north towards the playground.

These would be the same bollards that were approved and constructed on the north end of the lot that were installed to provide protection to the playground area from the parking lot.

In the July 31st Building Inspector's memo, they note that the proposed bollards does provide adequate access with and that the as-built -- an updated as-built would be required upon completion of the installation of those bollards. And that a separate building permit would be needed to construct those bollards and install those bollards.

1	We also added proposed signage for three of the
2	compact car spaces per the Building Inspector's memo.
3	Those are for cars with a maximum length of 15.58 feet per
4	the Town Code.
5	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: And those spaces are
6	17 feet, I understand?
7	MR. JESSE COKELEY: So we stripe them 17 to
8	provide room for the sign.
9	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Right.
10	MR. JESSE COKELEY: And then a, you know, a
11	16-foot car or less in that spot.
12	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, yeah.
13	MR. JESSE COKELEY: The existing crosswalk,
14	obviously, was, you know, a topic of discussion at the last
15	meeting. So that was reconfigured to extend to the striped
16	ADA island. And then we delved into the MUTCD standard a
17	little bit for more on the striping of the crosswalk.
18	While that is not necessarily hard and fast rule
19	that they be parallel to the flow of traffic, the intention
20	for that is to provide advance warning for high speeds.
21	This is, obviously, not a high-speed scenario.
22	But they are also intended to be parallel so that
23	tires they would be aligned with a wheel path. But they
24	could be diagonal up to 45 degrees.
25	Mr. Dudley said we are in receipt of an email

from the Town's traffic consultant, John Canning, who recommends, and we would tend to agree, I saw the email yesterday, to put the, I'll call them, longitudinal lines on the sides to make it like a true ladder. I think that solves the problem.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Truthfully, I don't know how you'd ever get them parallel since it's around the corner. But that's -- I'm not lousy at geometry, but I only think it could be parallel one way or the other.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: Yeah, they do -- So the latest edition of the MUTCD does talk about roads along a curve and things like that and the parallax effect, right, when you see something or you don't. So I think by putting the lines on the side would button up so --

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Yeah, it looks good. So you're using the darker lines.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: The darker ones. But then I would bracket them on the sides, too, with the rails.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, got it.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: Again, Matt touched -Mr. Dudley touched on this, but the Building Inspector's
memo also notes the need for the additional variance for
the canopy. That is -- that wasn't shown on the original
plan. I think maybe because the playground layout wasn't
fully squared away yet.

But if you're familiar with this site, if you've been, or any childcare site, it's kind of like a tree stand almost over the jungle gym. And it has like a couple arms and they drape the canopy over it in the summer months.

So in the winter months, it's usually not on.

But it still is a structure so it was a catch that we would need that variance.

And then lastly, as Mr. Dudley indicated, we did, at the recommendation of this Board, we did meet with the Town Engineering Department to discuss the drainage modifications associated with this Amended Site Plan. Town Counsel was there as well.

They did not see any issue with the relocation of the inlet from the corner that was on the approved plan.

That was just -- that was relocated as a result of the retaining wall kind of extending around the corner and leveling off the playground. So that was no longer leveling off of the parking lot. Excuse me. So that was no longer a low spot.

And it was positioned here to collect the underdrain from the playground, but also the roof drains as well. So it's a more economical drainage solution without an impact to low points or anything of the nature. So Mr. Coppola didn't see an issue with that change.

They also did not see an issue with the addition

of the proposed bollards along the curb line there. They are in the exact same position as the posts are for the quide rail. So the water would navigate around that.

And also, we added the perforated underdrain on to the plan. That's at the toe of the retaining wall. The bollard footings do not go all the way to that spot so they would not be impacted. Mr. Coppola did not see an issue with that.

The one request that he did have is, you can see on the existing information here, and you have that existing drain, you know, it's kind of just showing the general orientation of the pipes coming in and out.

Mr. Coppola requested that the as-built be further updated showing like where that connection is then made downstream --

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That makes sense, yeah.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: -- and for the other thing. So I would propose that, we have no problem doing that, obviously, we'd want that. And the Building Inspector's memo on the 31st said that an as-built would be required after the bollards are installed.

I would propose we would just pick all of that up at the same shot and provide it to the Town for final review.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Well, then you're

1	going to be moving him a little bit then to do that, right?
2	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Moving?
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Well, you just said the
4	drain you said the drain pipes, is what you're saying?
5	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: No. It's just to
6	show where the drainage goes. So he was concerned about
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Oh, I see, okay.
8	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Yeah.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: It just shows
10	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: They might connect
11	it to the sewer because it was closed. It's hard to tell.
12	But also, correct me if I'm wrong, I believe
13	Mr. Coppola had previously said that the relocation of the
14	drainage infrastructure on the site was previously approved
15	by the Town Engineer's office.
16	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Correct. Correct.
17	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. JESSE COKELEY: That's pretty much the
19	changes to the Site Plan.
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Great. Thank you.
21	Ms. Magana, do you anything else to add?
22	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: No. It's perfect.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, great. Any
24	questions from the Board?
25	(Whereupon, there was no response.)

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Anybody in the
2	public want to speak on Lightbridge tonight? Yes, sir,
3	come to the podium.
4	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: Hello. My name is Danny
5	D'Adamo. I'm the managing member of Grayrock Associates,
6	the owner of the property directly in front, 531 CPA.
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Speak into the microphone,
8	a little bit, please. Thank you.
9	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you.
10	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: You want me to repeat or?
11	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: No, no, you're fine. Just
12	go.
13	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: Mr. Senor couldn't be here
14	tonight so I have to be the engineer. I have a couple of
15	questions for the applicant.
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Just please address them
17	to the Board. They can hear you.
18	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: I would like to know what his
19	opinion is, what is the safe distance of those bollards
20	that are going to be installed from the face of the wall?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay. I would like
22	you to go through all of your questions and then we will
23	have them respond to everything at one time.
24	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: How does that canopy affect
25	the drainage onto the property?

1	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: I see them taking
2	notes. So they'll respond to all of them.
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I am, too. Keep going.
4	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: On the drawing, the retaining
5	wall encroaches my property on the both ends where it
6	makes the turn and I'm trying to read his notes.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: You're saying like
8	in this area?
9	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: Yeah, right there, and the
10	other end.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Up top?
12	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: In the back.
13	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay. We will have
14	the applicant address that.
15	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: And then Well, let them
16	answer that.
17	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
18	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay. So we
19	will
20	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: I may have another question.
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay.
22	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: That's okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. I just want, for
24	the record, we did receive a detailed letter from Mr. Senor
25	today. I don't know if you I don't even think you got

1	it.
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: They haven't gotten
3	it.
4	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: The Board hasn't even seen
5	it yet. It just came in today. Because of the situation
6	with the Zoning Board, we're going to have to continue the
7	Public Hearing into September anyway. So we're not going
8	to consider this letter tonight.
9	We will let it get distributed to the Members of
10	the Planning Board in preparation for the next meeting.
11	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: As well as to you.
12	We will forward it along tomorrow morning.
13	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. If you can answer,
14	answer the questions, please, for this gentleman.
15	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Sure. So the safe distance
16	for the bollards from the wall, we're matching the distance
17	that the guide rail posts are from the wall. That was what
18	was the spec of the retaining wall manufacturer for their
19	installation. The bollards themselves are spaced five feet
20	a part, which is narrower than a vehicle.
21	So that is pretty much doing the purpose. They
22	are also, excuse me, a little bit taller than the guide
23	rail itself. The guide rail is down around 30-inches. The
24	bollards would be about four to five feet high.

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: But I think his question,

25

though, in all fairness, okay, his question was other specs 1 for bollards in terms of safety, right. I don't know --2 3 First of all, you have to -- I'm sure it depends on speed, t.00. 4 5 But if there are any specs for that that would confirm that they are a safe distance from that wall, that 6 7 a car can't go through them. I suspect they can't. But if 8 the specs, if they could be added to the record, that would 9 be great. 10 MR. JESSE COKELEY: Correct. We can provide the 11 specs for the bollards --12 CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That would be great. 13 MR. JESSE COKELEY: -- that's not a problem. 14 CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That's all I'm asking. 15 MR. JESSE COKELEY: Yup. 16 CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Thank you. 17 MR. JESSE COKELEY: The drainage for the canopy, 18 would -- the canopy would not affect the drainage. It's 19 directly over the playground. It's sloped. The playground 20 is a pervious surface with an underdrain system. 21 would just -- it's almost like the canopy would not be

CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Where does it -- But it's blocking rain from coming on to it.

MR. JESSE COKELEY: Right.

there if it rained.

22

23

24

25

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: So where does it If
2	it's running off the top of the canopy, where does it go?
3	MR. JESSE COKELEY: It's just it just drips
4	off the edge.
5	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Into the pervious surface?
6	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Into the pervious surface.
7	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: That has the
8	underdrain?
9	MR. JESSE COKELEY: Correct.
10	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay. And the
11	wall?
12	MR. JESSE COKELEY: And then the retaining wall,
13	I believe the as-built survey shows it up to and on the
14	property line. I don't believe it crosses over the
15	property line. You know, it's his property line. It's the
16	applicant's property line, too.
17	It's a common property line. It's right on it, I
18	believe, is the measurement so.
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: You should try to resolve
20	it before the approval, but it sounds more like a legal
21	matter than a matter for the Planning Board. Am I right on
22	that, Ms. Magana?
23	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: That's not an issue
24	for us to opine on.
25	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Yeah, that's what I

1	thought.
2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Us being the
3	Planning Board?
4	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: Yes.
5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Thank you.
6	DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MAGANA: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Right, okay.
8	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So the wall will
9	not be something before the Planning Board in terms of
10	where it's situated relative to the property line. I just
11	want that to be clear to all parties.
12	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Right. It's not our job
13	to work on bordering disputes. It's not something that we
14	can resolve. Okay, any other questions?
15	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: I just want to make
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: What? Okay.
17	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: The position of those
18	bollards, so the engineer understands my question. If you
19	can increase the
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Sure.
21	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: And I remember I have one
22	other question. When it's up by the guardrail, it's a
23	distance from the face of the wall is further away and as
24	it gets closer to the turn, it's right up against the wall.
25	What's the reasoning for that?

1	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: It looks like there
2	is a curb in between the wall
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I think this has been
4	answered at the last meeting. You can take a look at the
5	record from the last meeting.
6	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: That's why I wanted to make
7	sure the engineer specifies. If he can certify that those
8	positions is going to be good. Because Mr. Senor mentioned
9	that the manufacturer of the wall indicates the protective
10	area should be three feet from the face of the wall. And
11	New York State Code indicates that the design of those
12	bollards should be able to take a resistant load of
13	6,000 pounds.
14	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Okay, so that's in
15	the letter
16	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: That's from the engineer
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: They haven't gotten
18	the letter yet. So we will forward that along.
19	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: That will be addressed at
20	the next meeting.
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Correct.
22	MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: I just want to end with a
23	simple statement. My father always told me, you plant a
24	tree crooked, it forever grows crooked. These parking
25	spaces were bad from the beginning and they are bad

1 tonight.

The applicant is trying to do everything to save three spaces that they don't need. Their traffic study says they have nine too many. So I don't understand why all this is going through for safety.

As you know, the wall was hit over the weekend and a car got stuck over the wall and it had to be towed.

The last thing is, the line that shows 24 feet on that --

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: 24, this one?

MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: Yeah, you see it's not

straight. I think Mr. Senor in his letter said it's not

perpendicular. Why isn't that line straight to the wall?

Why does it have a curve? It's slanted.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: The applicant will address that. But it looks to me like since the parking lot or the parking space line is not straight to -- They are taking the straightest point from the edge of the parking lot line to the bollards.

MR. DANNY D'ADAMO: But all the other lines are directly straight to the wall. And that, Mr. Senor put in his letter and said you need to be perpendicular to the wall.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: So we will have them address that as well. Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: If you're prepared to
2	answer any of those now, fine. If not, you can do it at
3	the next meeting.
4	MR. JESSE COKELEY: I was just going to say,
5	Aaron hit the nail on the head. We were just putting it to
6	the nearest bollard. That space does not have a bollard,
7	you know, directly along the line. So the 25.7 line is a
8	little askew. Obviously, the 24.8 line is askew.
9	We were just showing the distance from the edge
10	of those spaces to the nearest bollard. That's all. We
11	can put it perpendicular dimension, not a problem.
12	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Just to show what the
13	radius is from the bollards.
14	MR. JESSE COKELEY: I think the minimum distance
15	is 24.
16	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: I got it.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All right. Oh, yeah, the
19	one thing we need to do before we adjourn this from here,
20	we need the recommendation on this additional variance.
21	My recommendation would be, we do a neutral on
22	this one. Do I have a motion for recommending a neutral
23	variance on the accessory structure on the canopy?
24	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: So moved.
25	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Walter. Do I have a

1	second?
2	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Second.
3	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Johan second. All in
4	favor? Aye.
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Aye.
6	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
7	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
8	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Aye.
9	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER SPARKS: Aye.
10	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All opposed?
11	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Any abstentions?
13	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
14	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, it passes
15	unanimously. Thank you very much.
16	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Thank you, everyone.
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: We will get that
18	off to the ZBA and a copy to you as well.
19	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Okay, thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Can I have a motion to
21	close the Public Hearing portion. Oh, we're going to
22	adjourn Do we have to vote to adjourn? No. We are
23	going to adjourn this to September 7th. And hopefully
24	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: The 4th.
2.5	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: The 4th. I'm sorry.

1	Hopefully, at that time, if you get the plans to the Zoning
2	Board, we can do that and the work session at the same
3	time.
4	MR. MATTHEW DUDLEY, ESQ.: Thank you so much.
5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCHMIDT: Have a good
6	evening.
7	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay. Can I have a
8	motion Walter, don't go away yet. We have to adjourn
9	the Public Hearing. Can I have a motion to adjourn the
10	Public Hearing?
11	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: So moved.
12	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Second.
13	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Leslie. Walter. All in
14	favor? Aye.
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON HAY: Aye.
16	BOARD MEMBER SIMON: Aye.
17	BOARD MEMBER SNAGGS: Aye.
18	BOARD MEMBER DESAI: Aye.
19	BOARD MEMBER DAVIS: Aye.
20	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER SPARKS: Aye.
21	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: All opposed?
22	(Whereupon, there was no response.)
23	CHAIRPERSON SCHWARTZ: Okay, thank you.
24	(Whereupon, the Public Hearing was concluded.)
25	

	CASE NO. PB 20-09
1	
2	
3	
4	* * * * * * * * *
5	
6	CERTIFICATION
7	
8	Certified to be a true and accurate
9	transcript of the Public Hearing of the Greenburgh Planning
10	Board Meeting proceedings held on August 7, 2024, taken by the
11	undersigned, to the best of her ability.
12	
13	
14	Barbara Marciante
15	Barbara Marciante, Official Court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	